Nowbe 25, 2015 @130Pm Janus Franco M

MEMBERS OF THE WPCA THAT ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND THIS MEETING, PLEASE CALL ETHER DIAZ, (860) 644-2511, EXT. 243, ON OR BEFORE 4:30 P.M. ON THE DAY OF THE MEETING

# WATER POLLUTION CONTROL AUTHORITY TOWN OF SOUTH WINDSOR

REGULAR MEETING SPRENKEL ROOM **AGENDA** 

7:00 P.M. DECEMBER 1, 2015

- A. ROLL CALL
- B. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS
  - 1. November 4, 2015, Regular Meeting
- C. NEW BUSINESS
  - 1. 2 Lot Subdivision-Strong & Foster Roads (Approval to connect)
  - 2. Schedule Public Hearing to establish sewer assessments for Lawrence Road and Cliffwood Drive property owners
  - 3. Election of Officers
- D. COMMUNICATIONS AND REPORTS
  - 1. Vegetation Management Program
  - 2. Arc Flash Hazard Assessment
  - 3. Biofilter
- E. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (Items not on the agenda)
- F. BILLS, CHANGE ORDERS, DISBURSEMENTS
- G. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
  - 1. Uncollected Sewer User Charges
- H. MOTION TO GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING CLAIMS
- I. ADJOURNMENT

MINUTES SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 1

REGULAR MEETING 4'.2'S
DECEMBER 1, 2015, 7:00 PM

#### A. ROLL CALL

Members Present: Richard Aries, Robert Dickinson, Ed Havens, Jr., Vicki Paliulis,

Donald Antaya, Carol Fletterick

Members Absent: William Vees

Alternates Present: Richard Siedman seated for William Vees

Alternates Absent: Atif Quraishi

Staff Present: C. Fred Shaw, Superintendent of Pollution Control

Lauren L. Zarambo substituting for Ether Diaz, Recording Secretary

Others Present: Mr. Todd Collins, 432 Foster Road

Chairman Richard Aries called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

#### B. ACCEPTANCE OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS

1. November 4, 2015, Regular Meeting

Motion was made to accept the minutes of the November 4, 2015 Regular Meeting with administrative corrections to Page 2, last paragraph, changing the phrase 'protecting painting' to 'protective painting' and on Page 4, last paragraph, changing the name 'American Task Funding' to 'American Tax Funding'.

The motion was made by Ms. Carol Fletterick and seconded by Mr. Ed Havens. The motion carried unanimously.

#### C. NEW BUSINESS

1. 2 Lot Subdivision- Strong & Foster Roads (Approval to connect)

Superintendent Fred Shaw introduced property owner Mr. Todd Collins with two lots to develop at the southeast corner of Foster and Strong Roads. Chairman Richard Aries noted the location on a site map. Mr. Shaw also showed the properties on a larger map. The Planning and Zoning Commission has approved the two lot subdivision and referred the applicant to the WPCA for further consideration.

The state has recently delegated the responsibility to local authorities to make decisions on sewer use and land use policies. Mr. Shaw stated he spoke with Mr. Dan Morley of the CT Office of Policy and Management to clarify. Discussion ensued as to whether the decision would have to go back to the State. Mr. Shaw distributed a letter from the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP) and the

MINUTES SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 2

REGULAR MEETING DECEMBER 1, 2015, 7:00 PM

Planning and Zoning Commission recommendation to amend the sanitary sewer map for the two properties in question (Exhibit A) and an email document from Mr. Morley of the CT Office of Policy and Management (Exhibit B).

Chairman Aries asked whether the parcels were in a wetland area. Part of the larger parcel is wetlands. The size of the lots was discussed. Ms. Vicki Paliulis questioned the turn around time for the process and how it could affect the process of construction. Chairman Aries indicated WPCA's limited jurisdiction for sewer connection is direct when there is no environmental impact and all other environmental aspects are covered concerning wetlands and conservation of property.

Mr. Collins showed the limits of the lots on the site plan presented. Mr. Shaw stated the Town has the authority to make the change. Mr. Ed Havens asked if this change in policy would create more work for the department when requiring public improvements. Mr. Shaw indicated the PZC and WPCA approval letters would be sent to the State. Chairman Aries voiced his support for approval with the qualifier of State review if necessary. Mr. Shaw passed out Public Act No. 15-95 (Exhibit C). Discussion ensued as to which commission was referenced in the document. It was determined to be municipal and amendable by local planning and zoning commissions.

Motion was made to approve the connection to the Town's sewerage system for two proposed residential homes on the corner of Foster Road and Strong Road, as more specifically shown on plans entitled "2 Lot subdivision, Strong & Foster Roads, South Windsor, Connecticut", Prepared by Design Professionals, South Windsor, CT; Project No. 1433.C, Sheet No. V1-2 "Resubdivision Plan", Dated 7/16/2015.

The motion was made by Ms. Vicki Paliulis and seconded by Mr. Donald Antaya. The motion carried unanimously.

2. Schedule Public Hearing to establish sewer assessments for Lawrence Road and Cliffwood Drive property owners

Mr. Shaw explained that sometime ago an informational meeting was held for the residents of Lawrence Road and Cliffwood Drive to discuss the sewer assessments fees. At that time the interest rate that was customarily used for assessments was 6%. Mr. Shaw reviewed with the Town Attorney if the 6% interest could be reduced to a lower rate; to the last interest rate of 2.6% that the Town paid at that time when they borrowed money to fund a project. A legal opinion was received and yes indeed that rate could be reduced. This rate was approved by the WPCA in previous years. Mr. Shaw explained that it is important to move forward with this process and a public hearing needs to be held to show the proposed rate (2.6%)-see Exhibit D.

The Town will be collecting this fee over a fifteen (15) year period at 2.6% interest rate. There are options for the repayment of the sewer assessments which include paying the entire assessment in lump sum, or making annual installment payments

MINUTES SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 3

REGULAR MEETING DECEMBER 1, 2015, 7:00 PM

over a 15 year period. Another program could be the elderly tax freeze program where eligible property owners pay only the interest but not the principal. A letter would go out explaining the process and an announcement of a public hearing. Chairman Aries asked if there was a transcription of the recording from the previous informational meeting. Discussion continued about the sewers which were installed 3 or 4 years ago, the benefit accrued, the connection charges, and requirements from lenders for sewer connections.

A public hearing and special meeting were proposed for Thursday, January 14th.

Motion was made to schedule the Public Hearing for Thursday, January 14, 2016 at 6:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers to establish sewer assessments for Lawrence Road and Cliffwood Drive property owners, and followed by the Special Meeting to begin at 7:30 p.m. with the understanding that the special meeting could be postponed until the public hearing is completed.

The motion was made by Mr. Ed Havens and seconded by Mr. Robert Dickinson. The motion carried unanimously.

#### 3. Election of Officers

Mr. Fred Shaw indicated the Town Council will be making appointments at next Monday's Town Council meeting. Chairman Aries moved the election of officers until next month.

The motion was made by Mr. Robert Dickinson and seconded by Mr. Richard Siedman. The motion carried unanimously

#### D. COMMUNICATIONS AND REPORTS

#### 1. Vegetation Management Program

Mr. Shaw described the program which was posted on the Town's website. It has gone out to bid with bid opening on the 21st of December. Phase I will be land clearing. Easements have been staked. Letters of notifications to the residents will be sent out once the contractor has been selected. Mr. Siedman asked if a public hearing is necessary and stressed the importance of public relations to avoid what happened in West Hartford with tree clearing by DEEP. Chairman Aries asked how intrusive the work will be on resident's homes. Mr. Shaw noted restrictions on deeds with easements so that trees cannot be planted in easement areas. Mr. Shaw will take on the public outreach to those significantly impacted and described the process the town will take in staking easements, properties, and trees.

#### 2. Arc Flash Hazard Assessment

Mr. Shaw reported completion of the Hazard Assessment Survey for the treatment plant. Skill assessment will be done to determine how much training will be needed.

MINUTES SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 4

REGULAR MEETING DECEMBER 1, 2015, 7:00 PM

Arc Flash Awareness Training will also take place. Mr. Havens asked if the Public Works is involved. Mr. Shaw indicated they are involved.

#### 3. Biofilter

Mr. Shaw reported the biofilter has been restored to original usefulness. The bidding process was described. Testing was done on the stone bed. Layers of wood chips and medium were placed. All measurements were taken to ensure proper construction. Velocity and smoke tests were also performed and it is now constructed accordingly to desire odors. There will now be monitoring of the biological activity, to determine effective operation of the process.

#### Other Business:

Chairman Aries thanked Mr. Shaw and Mr. Dickinson for joining him in attending a state wide WPCA meeting and stated they have one of the best sewer management systems around. The Chairman continued voicing appreciation for Mr. Atif Quiraishi who had stepped down and also thanked Mr. Steve Wagner for his service as Councilor and Liaison.

Mr. Richard Siedman reported on Mr. Will Vees health status.

Mr. Shaw reported the State Department of Transportation (DOT) paved over twenty five (25) manhole covers while paving Sullivan Avenue. As a result the Town has had to hire someone to uncover the manhole covers. The work is complete and now they are going back to the State for reimbursement with over \$900 per manhole cover in costs.

- D. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (Items not on the agenda): none
- E. BILLS, CHANGE ORDERS, DISBURSEMENTS: none

#### F. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Uncollected Sewer User Charges

Mr. Shaw reported that further discussion with the new Director of Finance, Patty Perry, will be necessary in order to pursue more aggressively the work of the constables in collecting fees. A new approach will need to be considered after working with the constables for the last 2 years. Mr. Antaya asked whether the 15% is worth paying the constables when the prior company took no percentage as payment. Chairman Aries suggested a notice be sent to those residents in arrears when changes are made indicating further legal action could be taken.

# G. MOTION TO GO INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING

CLAIMS: none

MINUTES SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 5

REGULAR MEETING DECEMBER 1, 2015, 7:00 PM

#### H. ADJOURNMENT

Motion was made to adjourn the meeting at 8:37 p.m.

The motion made by Mr. Ed Havens and seconded by Mr. Richard Siedman. The motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lauren L. Zarambo for Ether Diaz

Recording Secretary



79 Elm Street • Hartford, CT 06106-5127

www.ct.gov/deep

Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer

May 20, 2015

To: Connecticut Chief Elected Officials

Connecticut Water Pollution Control Authorities

Professional Consultants providing wastewater infrastructure services to Connecticut municipal and regional entities

RE: Review of wastewater infrastructure plans and specifications for locally funded projects

The Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (The Department) has been reviewing its policies and practices with a goal of streamlining its operations and reducing or eliminating unnecessary or redundant activities. Recently, the Planning and Standards Division of the Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse identified a series of document reviews as having the potential for reduction or elimination.

It has been the Department's practice to review all modifications or expansions of wastewater conveyance and treatment facilities. Historically, designs for such proposals were routinely submitted to the Department for review and approval prior to initiating construction. An evaluation of the need for such reviews has indicated that the regulated community and their consultants are well-versed in the technical and administrative requirements of such designs, and as such, reviews of such efforts at the state level are considered a duplication of effort and therefore redundant.

Therefore, as of the date of this document, the Department will only conduct detailed technical reviews of the design of specific categories of wastewater conveyance and treatment facilities. The categories continuing to require a Department review of plans and specifications are:

- 1. All projects for which funding is sought through the Connecticut Clean Water Fund or other state funding source,
- 2. All proposed construction of or modifications to municipally-owned or operated wastewater treatment facilities, regardless of funding source,
- 3. All proposed construction of or modifications to major wastewater pump stations with a peak design capacity of 5.0 million gallons per day or greater, regardless of funding source.

For all other wastewater infrastructure projects, the local entity having regulatory authority (Water Pollution Control Authorities or other municipal or regional entities acting on their behalf) shall be responsible for ensuring that the designs meet all technical and administrative requirements. To ensure that such reviews continue to be accomplished at the local level, municipal or regional entities will be required to submit the following assurances to the Department following the completion of construction of such projects:

1. A map showing the location of the project and, if appropriate, changes in the sewer service area resulting from such project.

- 2. A statement from the municipal or regional entity having regulatory authority that all aspects of the project meet, at a minimum, the requirements of the current New England Interstate Water Pollution Control Commission "Guides for the Design of Wastewater Treatment Works" (also known as TR-16).
- 3. A statement that the local entity having regulatory authority has determined that the project is consistent with the requirements of current state and local plans of conservation and development.

The above documents should be sent to:

Director
Planning & Standards Division
Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse
Department of Energy and Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street
Hartford, CT 06106

Thank you for your cooperation as we seek to make our operations more streamlined, efficient, and responsive to our regulated community.

Sincerely.

Denise Ruzicka

Director

Planning & Standards Division

Bureau of Water Protection and Land Reuse

From: Sent:

Morley, Dan D. [Daniel.Morley@ct.gov] Wednesday, October 07, 2015 11:09 AM

To:

'tcollins03@cox.net'

Cc:

Shaw, Fred; Lipe, Michele; Hall, Ivonne; Hust, Robert; Pafford, Matthew

Subject: RE: FW: Sewer Service Area reviews

Todd,

Thanks for your e-mail. I am copying DEEP staff, as well as your WPCA and Town Planning staff, so that your issue can be handled at the appropriate level. You do not need to petition the state in this matter. The Locational Guide Map of the 2013-2018 State Plan of Conservation and Development does not provide any impediment to connecting sewer to your property, as long as there are no other environmental concerns that DEEP might identify.

In fact, upon its adoption by the CT General Assembly in June 2013, the legislative committee responsible for shepherding this Plan through the adoption process provided an endorsement letter, which you can read here:

http://www.ct.gov/opm/lib/opm/igp/org/cdupdate/2013-2018\_cd\_plan.pdf. (Although the letter says "Draft", committee minutes verify that is was approved for release a week later, prior to the General Assembly's formal adoption.) If you would like to see how your property appears on the new Locational Guide Map, you can check it out here:
http://www.dir.ct.gov/opm/igp/lgm/index.html. Feel free to call Matt Pafford of my staff at 860-418-6412, who can quickly walk you through the map navigation process.

More importantly, your local officials should note the new requirements of Public Act 15-95 (https://www.cga.ct.gov/2015/ACT/PA/2015PA-00095-R00SB-01045-PA.htm). Specifically, subsection (g) states that: "Any municipal plan of conservation and development scheduled for adoption on or after July 1, 2015, shall identify the general location and extent of any (1) areas served by existing sewerage systems, (2) areas where sewerage systems are planned, and (3) areas where sewers are to be avoided. In identifying such areas, the commission shall consider the provisions of this section and the priority funding area provisions of chapter 297a."

Essentially what this is saying is that the local planning commission must determine the appropriate extent and timing of WPCA-approved sewer plans, as well as identify any areas where sewers are to be avoided, whenever it amends the municipal plan of conservation and development (POCD). I believe what DEEP needs from the Town is an assurance that the WPCA and the Planning Commission are on the same page on this. I will work with DEEP to see if their guidance needs to be updated to reflect the new requirements of Public Act 15-95.

Hope this helps. -- Dan

P.S. -- Ivonne, in your memo to Fred below, you mention a memo that was sent out this past spring regarding DEEP's delegation of authority. Please forward that to me for review.

Daniel D. Morley Assistant Division Director CT Office of Policy and Management Intergovernmental Policy Division 450 Capitol Avenue, MS#540RG

Hartford, CT 06106-1379 Phone: (860) 418-6343

----Original Message----

From: tcollins03@cox.net [mailto:tcollins03@cox.net]

Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2015 7:31 AM

To: Morley, Dan D.

Subject: Fwd: FW: Sewer Service Area reviews

Hello: my name is Todd Collins



# Town of South Windsor

1540 SULLIVAN AVENUE • SOUTH WINDSOR, CT 06074 TELEPHONE (860) 644-2511

November 13, 2015

Richard Aries, Chairman Water Pollution Control Authority Town of South Windsor 1540 Sullivan Avenue South Windsor, CT 06074

Dear Mr. Aries:

Re: PZC Recommendation to Amend the Sanitary Sewer Map

Please be advised that the Planning and Zoning Commission at its November 10, 2015 meeting recommended by unanimous vote the WPCA amend the sanitary sewer map to allow two properties on the corner of Foster Road and Strong Road to be serviced by sanitary sewers.

Justification: After reviewing a recent letter from the State Office of Policy and Management that put the decision of sewering of land back to the Planning and Zoning Commission, the Commission has reviewed the Town Plan for this area, the State's Plan, as well as reviewing the existing Sanitary Sewer Service Plan. In light of the fact the sewer currently exists in front of these properties and by allowing hook up into the sewers it would be better environmentally (due to the extensive wetlands through the area), the Commission is recommending that the map be changed to allow sewering along this frontage (maximum 200 feet back).

It is not the intent of the PZC to allow sewer extensions into the remaining acreage of this land for further development.

Sincerely,

Bart Pacekonis, Chairman

Planning and Zoning Commission

BP/Ilz

Cc: Fred Shaw, Superintendent of Pollution Control Thomas Collins, 432 Foster Road

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

Exhibit C

# Senate Bill No. 1045

### Public Act No. 15-95

# AN ACT CONCERNING MUNICIPAL PLANS OF CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

Section 1. Section 8-23 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):

- (a) (1) At least once every ten years, the commission shall prepare or amend and shall adopt a plan of conservation and development for the municipality. Following adoption, the commission shall regularly review and maintain such plan. The commission may adopt such geographical, functional or other amendments to the plan or parts of the plan, in accordance with the provisions of this section, as it deems necessary. The commission may, at any time, prepare, amend and adopt plans for the redevelopment and improvement of districts or neighborhoods which, in its judgment, contain special problems or opportunities or show a trend toward lower land values.
- (2) If a plan is not amended decennially, the chief elected official of the municipality shall submit a letter to the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management and the Commissioners of Transportation, <u>Energy and Environmental Protection and Economic and Community Development that explains why such plan was not amended. A copy of such letter shall be included in each application by the municipality for discretionary state funding submitted to any state agency.</u>
- [(3) Notwithstanding any provision of subdivisions (1) and (2) of this subsection, no commission shall be obligated to prepare or amend a plan of conservation and development for such municipality from July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2014, inclusive. ]
- (b) On and after [the first day of July following the adoption of the state Conservation and Development Policies Plan 2013-2018, in accordance with section 16a-30] July 1, 2016, a municipality that fails to comply with the requirements of subdivisions (1) and (2) of subsection (a) of this section shall be ineligible for discretionary state funding unless such prohibition is expressly waived by the secretary. [, except that any municipality that does not prepare or amend a plan of conservation and development pursuant to subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of this section shall continue to be eligible for discretionary state funding

unless such municipality fails to comply with the requirements of said subdivisions (1) and (2) on or after July 1, 2015. ]

- (c) In the preparation of such plan, the commission may appoint one or more special committees to develop and make recommendations for the plan. The membership of any special committee may include: Residents of the municipality and representatives of local boards dealing with zoning, inland wetlands, conservation, recreation, education, public works, finance, redevelopment, general government and other municipal functions. In performing its duties under this section, the commission or any special committee may accept information from any source or solicit input from any organization or individual. The commission or any special committee may hold public informational meetings or organize other activities to inform residents about the process of preparing the plan.
- (d) In preparing such plan, the commission or any special committee shall consider the following: (1) The community development action plan of the municipality, if any, (2) the need for affordable housing, (3) the need for protection of existing and potential public surface and ground drinking water supplies, (4) the use of cluster development and other development patterns to the extent consistent with soil types, terrain and infrastructure capacity within the municipality, (5) the state plan of conservation and development adopted pursuant to chapter 297, (6) the regional plan of conservation and development adopted pursuant to section 8-35a, (7) physical, social, economic and governmental conditions and trends, (8) the needs of the municipality including, but not limited to, human resources, education, health, housing, recreation, social services, public utilities, public protection, transportation and circulation and cultural and interpersonal communications, (9) the objectives of energy-efficient patterns of development, the use of solar and other renewable forms of energy and energy conservation, (10) protection and preservation of agriculture, and (11) sea level change scenarios published by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration in Technical Report OAR CPO-1.
- (e) (1) Such plan of conservation and development shall (A) be a statement of policies, goals and standards for the physical and economic development of the municipality, (B) provide for a system of principal thoroughfares, parkways, bridges, streets, sidewalks, multipurpose trails and other public ways as appropriate, (C) be designed to promote, with the greatest efficiency and economy, the coordinated development of the municipality and the general welfare and prosperity of its people and identify areas where it is feasible and prudent (i) to have compact, transit accessible, pedestrian-oriented mixed use development patterns and land reuse, and (ii) to promote such development patterns and land reuse, (D) recommend the most desirable use of land within the municipality for residential, recreational, commercial, industrial, conservation, agricultural and other purposes and include a map showing such proposed land uses, (E) recommend the most desirable density of population in the several parts of the municipality, (F) note any inconsistencies with the following growth management principles: (i) Redevelopment and revitalization of commercial centers and areas of mixed land uses with existing or planned physical infrastructure; (ii) expansion of housing opportunities and design choices to accommodate a variety of household types and needs; (iii) concentration of development around transportation nodes and along major transportation corridors to support the viability of transportation options and land reuse;

- (iv) conservation and restoration of the natural environment, cultural and historical resources and existing farmlands; (v) protection of environmental assets critical to public health and safety; and (vi) integration of planning across all levels of government to address issues on a local, regional and state-wide basis, (G) make provision for the development of housing opportunities, including opportunities for multifamily dwellings, consistent with soil types, terrain and infrastructure capacity, for all residents of the municipality and the planning region in which the municipality is located, as designated by the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management under section 16a-4a, (H) promote housing choice and economic diversity in housing, including housing for both low and moderate income households, and encourage the development of housing which will meet the housing needs identified in the state's consolidated plan for housing and community development prepared pursuant to section 8-37t and in the housing component and the other components of the state plan of conservation and development prepared pursuant to chapter 297, and (I) consider allowing older adults and persons with a disability the ability to live in their homes and communities whenever possible. Such plan may: (i) Permit home sharing in singlefamily zones between up to four adult persons of any age with a disability or who are sixty years of age or older, whether or not related, who receive supportive services in the home; (ii) allow accessory apartments for persons with a disability or persons sixty years of age or older, or their caregivers, in all residential zones, subject to municipal zoning regulations concerning design and long-term use of the principal property after it is no longer in use by such persons; and (iii) expand the definition of "family" in single-family zones to allow for accessory apartments for persons sixty years of age or older, persons with a disability or their caregivers. In preparing such plan the commission shall consider focusing development and revitalization in areas with existing or planned physical infrastructure. For purposes of this subsection, "disability" has the same meaning as provided in section 46a-8.
- (2) For any municipality that is contiguous to Long Island Sound, such plan shall be (A) consistent with the municipal coastal program requirements of sections 22a-101 to 22a-104, inclusive, (B) made with reasonable consideration for restoration and protection of the ecosystem and habitat of Long Island Sound, and (C) designed to reduce hypoxia, pathogens, toxic contaminants and floatable debris in Long Island Sound.
- (f) Such plan may show the commission's and any special committee's recommendation for (1) conservation and preservation of traprock and other ridgelines, (2) airports, parks, playgrounds and other public grounds, (3) the general location, relocation and improvement of schools and other public buildings, (4) the general location and extent of public utilities and terminals, whether publicly or privately owned, for water, [sewerage,] light, power, transit and other purposes, (5) the extent and location of public housing projects, (6) programs for the implementation of the plan, including (A) a schedule, (B) a budget for public capital projects, (C) a program for enactment and enforcement of zoning and subdivision controls, building and housing codes and safety regulations, (D) plans for implementation of affordable housing, (E) plans for open space acquisition and greenways protection and development, and (F) plans for corridor management areas along limited access highways or rail lines, designated under section 16a-27, (7) proposed priority funding areas, and (8) any other recommendations as will, in the commission's or any special committee's judgment, be beneficial to the municipality. The plan may include any

- necessary and related maps, explanatory material, photographs, charts or other pertinent data and information relative to the past, present and future trends of the municipality.
- (g) Any municipal plan of conservation and development scheduled for adoption on or after July 1, 2015, shall identify the general location and extent of any (1) areas served by existing sewerage systems, (2) areas where sewerage systems are planned, and (3) areas where sewers are to be avoided. In identifying such areas, the commission shall consider the provisions of this section and the priority funding area provisions of chapter 297a.
- [(g)] (h) (1) A plan of conservation and development or any part thereof or amendment thereto prepared by the commission or any special committee shall be reviewed, and may be amended, by the commission prior to scheduling at least one public hearing on adoption.
- (2) At least sixty-five days prior to the public hearing on adoption, the commission shall submit a copy of such plan or part thereof or amendment thereto for review and comment to the legislative body or, in the case of a municipality for which the legislative body of the municipality is a town meeting or representative town meeting, to the board of selectmen. The legislative body or board of selectmen, as the case may be, may hold one or more public hearings on the plan and shall endorse or reject such entire plan or part thereof or amendment and may submit comments and recommended changes to the commission. The commission may render a decision on the plan without the report of such body or board.
- (3) At least thirty-five days prior to the public hearing on adoption, the commission shall post the plan on the Internet web site of the municipality, if any.
- (4) At least sixty-five days prior to the public hearing on adoption, the commission shall submit a copy of such plan or part thereof or amendment thereto to the regional council of governments for review and comment. The regional council of governments shall submit an advisory report along with its comments to the commission at or before the hearing. Such comments shall include a finding on the consistency of the plan with (A) the regional plan of conservation and development, adopted under section 8-35a, (B) the state plan of conservation and development adopted pursuant to chapter 297, and (C) the plans of conservation and development of other municipalities in the area of operation of the regional council of governments. The commission may render a decision on the plan without the report of the regional council of governments.
- (5) At least thirty-five days prior to the public hearing on adoption, the commission shall file in the office of the town clerk a copy of such plan or part thereof or amendment thereto but, in the case of a district commission, such commission shall file such information in the offices of both the district clerk and the town clerk.
- (6) The commission shall cause to be published in a newspaper having a general circulation in the municipality, at least twice at intervals of not less than two days, the first not more than fifteen days, or less than ten days, and the last not less than two days prior to the date of each such hearing, notice of the time and place of any such public hearing. Such notice shall make reference to the filing of such draft plan in the office of the town clerk, or both the district clerk and the town clerk, as the case may be.

- [(h)] (i) (1) After completion of the public hearing, the commission may revise the plan and may adopt the plan or any part thereof or amendment thereto by a single resolution or may, by successive resolutions, adopt parts of the plan and amendments thereto.
- (2) Any plan, section of a plan or recommendation in the plan that is not endorsed in the report of the legislative body or, in the case of a municipality for which the legislative body is a town meeting or representative town meeting, by the board of selectmen, of the municipality may only be adopted by the commission by a vote of not less than two-thirds of all the members of the commission.
- (3) Upon adoption by the commission, any plan or part thereof or amendment thereto shall become effective at a time established by the commission, provided notice thereof shall be published in a newspaper having a general circulation in the municipality prior to such effective date.
- (4) Not more than thirty days after adoption, any plan or part thereof or amendment thereto shall be posted on the Internet web site of the municipality, if any, and shall be filed in the office of the town clerk, except that, if it is a district plan or amendment, it shall be filed in the offices of both the district and town clerks.
- (5) Not more than sixty days after adoption of the plan, the commission shall submit a copy of the plan to the Secretary of the Office of Policy and Management and shall include with such copy a description of any inconsistency between the plan adopted by the commission and the state plan of conservation and development and the reasons therefor.
- [(i)] (j) Any owner or tenant, or authorized agent of such owner or tenant, of real property or buildings thereon located in the municipality may submit a proposal to the commission requesting a change to the plan of conservation and development. Such proposal shall be submitted in writing and on a form prescribed by the commission. Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of section 8-7d, the commission shall review and may approve, modify and approve or reject the proposal in accordance with the provisions of subsection [(g)] (h) of this section.

Approved June 22, 2015

# Lawrence Road Sewer Assessments Dec-15

Residence

Annual Payment (15 yrs.@ 2.6%)	\$831.14	\$504.03	\$629.64	\$710.77	\$729.08	\$721.23	\$674.13
Total	\$10,481	\$6,356	\$7,940	\$8,963	\$9,194	\$9,095	\$8,501
Frontage	7491	3366	4950	\$5,973	6204	6105	5511
Base Chg. Frontage	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993
Lateral	288	266\$	\$997	\$997	266\$	266\$	\$997
	227	. 102	150	181	188	185	167
225	227	111.25 90 102.75	150 150 150	175 192.68 181.8	187.19 190.08 188.346	186.13 184.2 185.358	134.47 216.17 167.15
Front Line	Frontage	Front Line Rear Line Frontage					
#64		#74	96#	#139	#152	#140	#190

8	7	. 2	თ	4	4	4	4	<del>-</del>	
\$579.92	\$595.62	\$611.32	\$776.19	\$629.64	\$629.64	\$645.34	\$566.84	\$593.01	
				•					
\$7,313	\$7,511	\$7,709	\$9,788	\$7,940	\$7,940	\$8,138	\$7,148	\$7,478	
4323 (	4521	4719	6798	4950 \$	4950	5148	4158	4488	
84	45	47	29	48	94	70	4	4	
\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	\$1,993	
266\$	266\$	266\$	266\$	266\$	266\$	266\$	266\$	266\$	
₩	₩	\$	₩	3€	·				
131	137	143	206	150	150	156	126	136	
.63 .58	150 101 304	150 .18 372	.42 .94 )28	150 :59 236	150 150 150	.42 150 352	7.12 110 .272	136.6 136.6 136.6	
135.51 124.63 131.158	150 119.01 137.604	150 134.18 143.672	221.42 182.94 206.028	150 150.59 150.236	, , ,	161.42 150 156.852	137	6 6 6	
Front Line Rear Line Frontage									
Fror Rea Fror	Froi Rea Froi	Froi Res Froi	Froi Rea Froi	Fro Res Fro	Fro Reg Froi	Froi Res Froi	Fro Res Fro	F Res D	
#208	#220	#232	#278	#244	#256	#268	#279	#269	

#259 Front Line 136.6 Front Line 136.9 Front Line 138.9 F	-												
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 136.6 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.39 Front Line 150.44 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 120.70			\$629.25	\$618.14	\$629.64	\$755.25	\$637.49		\$715.52	\$743.28	\$876.50		
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 136.6 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.39 Front Line 150.44 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 120.70	-												
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 136.6 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 13.96 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.39 Front Line 150.44 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 120.70			935	795	,940	,524	620		,023	,373	,053		
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 137.96 137 \$1,047 \$2,093 Frontage 137.96 133 \$1,047 \$2,093 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.29 Frontage 150.16 150 \$997 \$1,993 Front Line 155.44 Rear Line 155.54 Front Line 165.59 Front Line 165.59 Front Line 165.54 Front Line 165.59 Front Line 165.54 Front Line 165.54 Front Line 165.55 Front Line 165.54 Front Line 165.55 Front Line 165.54 Front Line 165.54 Front Line 165.55 Front Line 165.55 Front Line 165.55 Front Line 116.84 Front Line 178.104 Front Line 129.07													
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 140 Frontage 137.96 Front Line 130.6 Rear Line 150.29 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.44 Rear Line 150.54 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 160.59 Front Line 169.7 Front Line 120.07 Front Line 129.07													
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 140 Frontage 137.96 137 Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 130.6 Rear Line 133.96 133 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 160.97 Front Line 160.97 Front Line 160.97 Front Line 226.5 Rear Line 178.104 Front Line 226.5 Rear Line 129.07 Front Line 226.5 Rear Line 129.07 Rear Line 129.07 Rear Line 339.47													
Front Line 136.6 Rear Line 130.6 Rear Line 130.6 Rear Line 133.96 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.29 Front Line 150.49 Front Line 150.59 Front Line 153.5 Front Line 163.97 Front Line 168.97 Front Line 168.97 Front Line 168.97 Front Line 168.97 Front Line 178.104 Front Line 226.5 Rear Line 220.5 Front Line 129.07 Rear Line 226.5 Front Line 226.5 Front Line 226.5											-		
Front Line Rear Line Rear Line Rear Line Front Line Rear Line Front Line Front Line Front Line Front Line Front Line Front age Front Line Front Line Front age Front Line		•											
<b>poo</b>			136.6 140 137.96	136.6 130 133.96	150 150.29 150.116	200 195 198	155.44 150.59 153.5		167 169.97 168.188	116.84 270 178.104	226.5 0 226	129.07 339.47	
#259 #239 #225 #33 #43	-		Front Line Rear Line Frontage	od Drive	Front Line Rear Line Frontage	Front Line Rear Line Frontage	Front Line Rear Line Frontage	Front Line Rear Line					
			#259	#249	#239	#225	#215	Cliffwc	#23	#33	#36	#43	

\$840.42	\$784.91	08.066\$	\$18,648		
\$10,598	\$9,898	9345 \$12,488	\$172,728	\$384,803	\$212,075
7455	6755	9345	11		"
\$2,095	\$2,095	\$2,095	Totals	Estimate Construction Cost	Sewer Fund Capital Reserve Expenditure
\$1,048	\$1,048	\$1,048	Assessment Totals	Stimate Co	Capital Res
213	193	267	4.		ewer Fund
213.23	199.58 184.2 193.428	272.79 258.32 267.002			й
Frontage	Front Line Rear Line Frontage	Front Line Rear Line Frontage			

#29

#54