

Lipe, Michele

From: Ulf Jonsson via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 13, 2023 1:46 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or on clicking links from unknown senders.

Submitted on Tuesday, June 13, 2023 - 1:46am
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.221.3.118
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Ulf Jonsson
E-mail: ujonsson@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8604168022
==Address==
Street: 100 Sally Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments:

Dear P&Z Commission members.

I am writing this to express my opposition against the zoning change request for the property off Deming Street, Application 23-25P allowing for Multi Family Assisted Housing to be built on this site. My opinion is that it fail at least half the criteria listed under section 8.3 in the South Windsor Zoning regulation. I am also opposed to the application 23-26P for expanding the apartment stock at Evergreen walk. The motivation I have is similar in both applications. In summary my opinion is that adding a large number of apartments will erode what I value most in South Windsor and that is our excellent schools and the parents living and moving here that strongly value education and are willing to pay a premium for it.

Adding low income housing will increase the number of students, driving the taxes up and it is unlikely that the average student in these developments are performing at the average level of our current students thus eroding the rating of our schools. It is also likely shifting resources away from the high performing students and the advanced programs. Our current students should be our primary responsibility. My family no longer have students in the South Windsor school system so I have no personal stake in the schools but I feel strongly for my neighbors and friends that choose to move here just for our excellent schools.

I hope there is a way for the P&Z and the TC to stop these proposals.

Sincerely,
Ulf Jonsson
100 Sally Drive.

The section below is for the record and does not have to be read

From Section 8:3 D. Review Criteria

In acting upon the zone change, the Commission shall take into account the various factors favorable and unfavorable to such a change, including but not limited to:

Criteria 3 Changes that have taken place in the rate and pattern of development and land use within the Town and adjoining communities;

The rate of new developments is too high and a significant increase of multifamily housing will have significant negative impacts on the schools and further burden the school system while providing very limited new tax revenues.

Criteria 7. The general character and zoning of the neighborhood;

Deming Street is mainly populated with over-average priced single family housing. Adding a multi-family rental property in that location will irreversibly change the character of the area. I strongly believe that the people on Deming have suffered far more losses than deserved through the development of the Evergreen corridor. To further add burden to the current residents is unfair and unreasonable.

Criteria 9. Traffic congestion impacts;

Adding school bus traffic to this area, bus stops and a significant number of personal vehicles will further increase the congestion in the area. The Buckland-Deming intersection and the Tamarac-Deming intersections are already used to capacity and have been prone to accidents.

Criteria 10. The impact on surrounding property values;

There are several high value properties going up Deming Street that will see significant drop in value but all of the towns housing stock will likely see a reduction. South Windsor is a very desirable town thanks to our excellent schools. Low cost housing like this and the potential of added rental units to Evergreen walk will provide lower cost alternatives to buying single family homes in the town thus resulting in less demand on our properties. To this we need to add the impact higher need students have on the schools.

Criteria 12. The health and general welfare of the community;

Adding low income housing will divert resources in the school system to support higher need students on the expense of higher performing students. The families buying homes in my neighborhood are highly motivated families with strong commitment to education pushing for added AP classes and STEM extracurricular activities. This migration of high performing students is driving up the house prices and if we dilute resources in the school we will lose academically and financially across the town.

Criteria 13 . Neighborhood acceptance weighed against community needs; and

We don't have a community need for subsidized rental properties. This is a need of families in other towns. The town of South Windsor is mainly responsible for its own citizens and not for the citizens of the greater Hartford area.

Criteria 14. The protection of historic factors.

This town had historically no apartment buildings and that is one of the factors making us move here 23 years ago. A town where every citizen own property in the town will have citizens that have a strong commitment for the long term well-being of the town. People that has no long term financial investment will likely act and support shortsighted options and will further deviate the town away from its history as a town built on individual homeownership.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:

<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61111>

Lipe, Michele

From: Folger, Jeffrey
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 8:01 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Cc: Stern, Joshua
Subject: FW: [southwindsorct] New development (Sent by Chelsea Leon, cleon728@yahoo.com)

fyi

From: Contact form at southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 7:57 AM
To: Folger, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Folger@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: [southwindsorct] New development (Sent by Chelsea Leon, cleon728@yahoo.com)

Hello jfolger,

Chelsea Leon (cleon728@yahoo.com) has sent you a message via your contact form (<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/431/contact>) at southwindsorct.

If you don't want to receive such e-mails, you can change your settings at <https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/431/edit>.

Message:

Good morning,

I want to add my voice to those opposed to new developments in town including the building of apartments. I do not find the value in taking land to build homes when the schools are already crowded and roads are already congested by businesses I also did not wish for. South Windsor is the town I wanted to raise my family in-not East Hartford. I need South Windsor to maintain it's small town feel and picturesque landscaping with small class sizes and safety as a priority.

Lipe, Michele

From: Stephen Wagner-SW <wagnersg-sw@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 12:25 AM
To: ekehoe88@gmail.com
Cc: Lipe, Michele
Subject: RE: [southwindsorct] Deming Street Apartments (Sent by Emily Kehoe, ekehoe88@gmail.com)

Thank you for your input. By including our Director of Planning, Michele Lipe, on cc, I am ensuring that your email becomes part of the record. We will also read your email at the public hearing.

Of course, you are also welcome to speak at the hearing, which I believe is scheduled for July 11 at 7 PM. Check our agenda as that date approaches: <https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/226/agenda/2023>.

Steve

Stephen Wagner
181 Tumblebrook Dr
South Windsor, CT 06074
860-648-4434 (h)
860-490-8764 (m)

From: Contact form at southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2023 11:57 AM
To: swagner <wagnersg-sw@outlook.com>
Subject: [southwindsorct] Deming Street Apartments (Sent by Emily Kehoe, ekehoe88@gmail.com)

Hello swagner,

Emily Kehoe (ekehoe88@gmail.com) has sent you a message via your contact form (<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/651/contact>) at southwindsorct.

If you don't want to receive such e-mails, you can change your settings at <https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/651/edit>.

Message:

Good Morning,
I am writing about the proposed apartments on Deming Street. Our brand new elementary schools are already at capacity. All of those schools then funnel into the middle school and then high school. We have already had to add an annex to the high school. How can we add a multi-family apartment complex to the town with our schools bursting at

capacity? The brand new PRS is having to add portables. That surely couldn't have been part of the plan when these new schools were proposed. Orchard Hill, which this complex would directly impact already has 4 to 5 classes per grade. The area of Deming Street has already seen an increase in traffic as a cut through to get to Costco. Adding these apartments will cause congestion to a quiet neighborhood. I would love to be in attendance of the meeting on July 11 but will be out of town. Please encourage the rest of the committee to say no to the proposed apartments! Thank you.

Lipe, Michele

From: Folger, Jeffrey
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 8:01 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Cc: Stern, Joshua
Subject: FW: [southwindsorct] New development (Sent by Chelsea Leon, cleon728@yahoo.com)

fyi

From: Contact form at southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 7:57 AM
To: Folger, Jeffrey <Jeffrey.Folger@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: [southwindsorct] New development (Sent by Chelsea Leon, cleon728@yahoo.com)

Hello jfolger,

Chelsea Leon (cleon728@yahoo.com) has sent you a message via your contact form (<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/431/contact>) at southwindsorct.

If you don't want to receive such e-mails, you can change your settings at <https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/431/edit>.

Message:

Good morning,

I want to add my voice to those opposed to new developments in town including the building of apartments. I do not find the value in taking land to build homes when the schools are already crowded and roads are already congested by businesses I also did not wish for. South Windsor is the town I wanted to raise my family in-not East Hartford. I need South Windsor to maintain it's small town feel and picturesque landscaping with small class sizes and safety as a priority.

Stern, Joshua

From: Jeff Martone via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2023 7:56 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Thursday, July 6, 2023 - 7:56pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.220.232
Submitted values are:

Subject: Zoning Board of Appeals
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Jeff Martone
E-mail: doctormartone@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8603242153
==Address==
Street: 14 gilbert lane
City: South windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments:

I am writing to voice strong objection to zoning change application 23-25P.

The apartment complex proposed by Metro Realty would be detrimental to those of us in the area and, to the town of South Windsor.

We do not need more traffic using Deming St. as a cut-through. We don't need a densely populated complex congesting the quiet neighborhoods and, our school system.

And, if the poorly kept other Metro Realty properties are any example of how they do business, no thank you.

Most Sincerely,
Jeffrey Martone

The results of this submission may be viewed at:

<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61541>

Stern, Joshua

From: Michelle Martone via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 6, 2023 9:44 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Thursday, July 6, 2023 - 9:44pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.220.232
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Michelle Martone
E-mail: mamartone@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8603242154

==Address==
Street: 14 Gilbert Lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I strongly oppose the building of a multi family apartment complex on Deming St., (Application 23-25P). The new schools are already over-crowded. Deming Street is too tight, curvy and hilly to safely accommodate the extra traffic. I think that area should be preserved for wildlife and flora.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61546>

Stern, Joshua

From: John Kania via southwindsorct <cmsmaifer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2023 4:29 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Friday, July 7, 2023 - 4:29pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d104:1f00:4151:4be5:c3e1:697f
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: John Kania
E-mail: john.kania@att.net
Phone Number: 860-644-2607

==Address==
Street: Woodland Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments:

I disagree with the apartment proposal at 240 Deming St (where the Calvary Church currently resides) I disagree with Application 23-25P. This quiet ~~residential~~ area does not need either the additional traffic or buildings. Not to mention the probability of the impact on schools and many other resources.
residential

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61551>

Stern, Joshua

From: Roberta Basile via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 10:58 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 10:58am
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.221.26
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Roberta Basile
E-mail: rbasile14@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608088322
==Address==

Street: 20 Sele Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I am opposed to application 23-25P.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61581>

Stern, Joshua

From: Carrie Morse via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 4:32 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 4:31pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d105:3d00:7d01:1066:a486:6fbf
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Carrie Morse
E-mail: carriemorse@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8608361520

==Address==
Street: 1455 Main St
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I am strongly opposed to the Application 23-25P regarding the apartment proposal at 240 Deming St (where the Calvary Church currently resides). In fact, I am unhappy overall with the town starting to look and feel like Manchester. This is atrocious!

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61591>

Stern, Joshua

From: Paul Morse via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 4:36 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 4:36pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d105:3d00:64ff:935c:46b6:a3c3
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Paul Morse
E-mail: paulmorse12@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8608361504

==Address==
Street: 1455 Main Street
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I am writing to express my disagreement with the apartment proposal at 240 Deming Street, application 23-35p

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61596>

Stern, Joshua

From: Diane Pospisil via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 5:13 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 5:12pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d206:1400:55c8:e3fd:727f:ae4
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Diane Pospisil
E-mail: dpospisil1@cox.net
Phone Number: 8607485074

==Address==
Street: 41 Riverside Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I disagree with 23-25P agenda

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61601>

Stern, Joshua

From: Rebecca Terront via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 5:39 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 5:39pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 161.129.250.81
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Rebecca Terront
E-mail: rebeccaterront@gmail.com
Phone Number: (860) 471-5054
==Address==
Street: 41 Norman Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I disagree with application 23-25p

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61606>

Stern, Joshua

From: Anna Rothberg via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 11:40 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 11:39pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d281:66d5:7cf7:8a78:bf70:ccba
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Anna Rothberg
E-mail: mrothb6158@aol.com
Phone Number: (860) 808-4537

==Address==

Street:
City:
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments:

I'm unable to attend the meeting, but I would like to make it known that I oppose Application 23-25p (the apartments on deming). The expansion of low income housing will in the end be put on the back of the tax payer.

I look forward to the right decision.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61611>

Stern, Joshua

From: Karen Shea via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2023 6:16 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Sunday, July 9, 2023 - 6:15am
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.214.109.82
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Karen Shea
E-mail: kshea516@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 860-402-5476

==Address==
Street: 506 Summer Hill Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I am opposed to the new apartments being proposed on Deming Rd. My concern is the new schools are already over capacity with students

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61616>

Stern, Joshua

From: Justin Iriondo via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2023 11:33 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Sunday, July 9, 2023 - 11:33am
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.221.5
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Justin Iriondo
E-mail: jiriondo27@gmail.com
Phone Number: (860) 327-5426

==Address==
Street: 160 Loomis Rd
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes

Comments:

I am including a link to a letter I would like read in opposition to AP 23-25P on 7/11.

Good evening,

I am writing in opposition to Application 23-25P. Being a lifelong resident of South Windsor, matriculating through the Public Schools, and now a proud South Windsor homeowner, I have seen South Windsor transform over the past 30 years. In large, I favor most of the decisions around the expansion and growth of our town and really appreciate the Gateway Zone and the upcoming proposals surrounding it. However, there are times where growth needs to carefully assessed.

The submitted proposal is in direct opposition to what our Town’s goals are. Our Town has a proud reputation and history of being affordable and accepting of all who want to be part of our community. We are delivering on these commitments.

- We already have 3 Metro Prime developments (Berry Patch, Hillcrest, and Watson Farm) to support affordable housing accommodations.

- We have two applications in front of the P&Z Commission that in all likelihood will be approved:

- o 23-26P: To build an additional 165 apartments in Evergreen Walk

1. This should be supported as Evergreen walk is intended to be a mixed-used community and is beautifully maturing into that. And note, this P&Z Committee has already approved the rezoning of that Section, clearing the way for this addition.

- o Unknown App: To build 125 apartments in the 30 year eye-sore Geissler’s Plaza

1. This should be supported as we all know the Geissler’s Plaza has been an embarrassment for decades and the proposal will beautify Sullivan Avenue. Our Economic Commission has recently communicated that there is resolution expected to the current lawsuit that will eventually result in the development needing to be approved.

It must also be said that Deming Street is a residential roadway. Our own Police Department has a very obvious sign posted (on the corner of Buckland) that reads “TRUCKS are requested not to use this road by order of SWPS.” This sign was posted for two reasons:

1. Deming is a residential area, and it is UNSAFE for the volume of traffic that would be brought on by allowing trucks.

We are going against the recommendation from the very people we employ to keep us safe by inviting more traffic on this roadway.

2. The roadway is not supportive of the weight that trucks would bring to the underlying infrastructure. Again, by inviting more traffic on this road, are we prepared to fund the infrastructure that will undoubtedly require repair (maybe not in Year 1 or 2)?

Additionally, our Open Space Task Force has already purchased and is evaluating other properties on Deming. This is because of the scenic beauty this road provides, a reminder of the town's farming roots. Why would we want to take away from that?

And lastly, the Public School Master Plan has vastly underestimated the growth of our town. Can Orchard Hill, TEMS, and the High School support additional children? Are we planning to staff our Schools proportionally? If we cannot answer these questions with an emphatic 'Yes' right now, I'm not sure how this can be approved. Especially, with the likelihood of the above Applications to be approved.

Again, I am a proud homeowner and resident of South Windsor. I love to see new families come to our community. If development is required on this land, individual homes would be much better suited for this area. It will bolster our hometown feel and spread the pride of growing roots in our community.

Unfortunately, AP 23-25P does not bring added value to our Town, does not reinforce our core principles of being an accepting community, and detracts from what we are all trying to build towards.

Thank you,
Justin Iriondo (160 Loomis Rd)

NOTE:

I hope our voices this evening are heard, and actually play a role in your decision-making. The CALVARY CHURCH OF THE ASSEMBLIES has every right to sell their property but it is important to note that property is Zoned as AA-30. AA-30 is defined as Limited Residential (within our own Zoning Regulations) and should not intended to be used for apartments without being re-zoned. Berry Path should never have been zoned AA-30, just as Hillcrest interestingly wasn't Zoned as AA-30, but rather I. We need to be consistent and mindful of these critical designations. Don't make the same mistake by overlooking what is intended to be developed on these lands.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61631>

Stern, Joshua

From: Susan Aitner via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2023 9:20 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Sunday, July 9, 2023 - 9:19pm

Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d212:5400:e10b:e069:aef:d558

Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission

==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Susan Aitner

E-mail: susanaitner@yahoo.com

Phone Number: 860-644-8895

==Address==

Street: Deepwood Drive

City: South Windsor

State: Connecticut

Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I disagree with Application 23-25P. The existing zone is there for good reasons. Deming Street cannot handle the increase in traffic which would result from this development. Also, our schools are already overcrowded. The proposed development is inappropriate for the area and should be rejected. Thank you.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:

<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61636>

Stern, Joshua

From: Vineeth Malikayil via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2023 10:26 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Sunday, July 9, 2023 - 10:25pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.221.0.205
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Vineeth Malikayil
E-mail: vineethm00@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8607302646
==Address==
Street:
City:
State: Connecticut
Zipcode:

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: Disagree with Application 23-25P

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61641>

Stern, Joshua

From: Srivatsav Sathyanarayanan via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 8:54 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 8:53am
Submitted by anonymous user: 136.226.72.92
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Srivatsav Sathyanarayanan
E-mail: sree285@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 15087977779
==Address==
Street: 11 French Lane
City: south windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I disagree with Application 23-25P.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61646>

Stern, Joshua

From: Amber Ruiz via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 12:45 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 12:44pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:387:5:80d::4c
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Amber Ruiz
E-mail: amber.f.ruiz@gmail.com
Phone Number: 404-643-7411

==Address==
Street: 36 Summit Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I disagree with Application item 23-25P, for the re-zoning and building of an apartment complex on Deming street. This is wrong for many reasons, most notably safety and traffic. I live off of Summit Drive and already have a difficult time simply crossing the street with my two young children (ages 1.5 and 3 years old) safely due to speeding drivers who ignore the stop signs in the area. If this is passed, the endangers the safety of my children due to increased traffic in the area. I've seen that the housing is expected to be 80% section 8 low income, which is not beneficial for our town. This increases the likelihood of crime in our town near our children and is unacceptable.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61651>

Lipe, Michele

From: Deepa Rajesh via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 5:56 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 5:55pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 174.76.49.16
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Deepa Rajesh
E-mail: rajdeepa@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8603061795

==Address==
Street: 18 Red Rock Ln
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes

Comments: Regarding the Proposed apartments at Calvary Church location at Deming Street, I disagree with application 23 -25P. Thank You.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61671>

Stern, Joshua

From: Shamik Ray via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 11:36 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 11:35pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.223.189
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Shamik Ray
E-mail: shamik.ayan@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608560386

==Address==
Street: 75 Cardinal Way
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: Do not agree with application 23-25p. We settled in south Windsor and specifically deming street for certain reasons and having a affordable housing community on an already busy street due to Costco is not one of them. If we had to settle near such developments we would have been much better off paying a lower mill rate and getting an apartment in Manchester . This looks like a very fishy development promoted by a very unscrupulous developer who is stretching definitions of assisted living. would urge the town to investigate why was this proposal even considered and whether there is some impropriety involved with all town officials who have approved this. These developments are tearing the fabric of the town. There are a lot of empty lots in Sullivan and similar streets where such developments should be considered

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61726>

Stern, Joshua

From: Sabita Ramakrishnan via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 11:01 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 11:01pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.220.207
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Sabita Ramakrishnan
E-mail: sabithaiyer@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608163426

==Address==
Street: 118 Gilbert Ln.
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes

Comments:

This is going to cause heavy traffic on the
Deming street on top of what we are already experiencing ..
This will also raise lots of security concerns .

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61721>

Stern, Joshua

From: Shunmugaraja Meenakshisundaram via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 10:50 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 10:50pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.220.207
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Shunmugaraja Meenakshisundaram
E-mail: sabiraaaja@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8605022408
==Address==
Street: 118 Gilbert Ln
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments:

We strongly believe that new proposal apartments @ Calvary Chirch Deming Street may lead to increased traffic noice and congestion of the deming street and interiors roads such as Cardinal street
As resident of Gilbert Ln we oppose the application 23-25p
Please consider our request and reject the proposal

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61716>

Stern, Joshua

From: Radesh Gunti via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 10:40 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 10:40pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 43.249.216.54
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Radesh Gunti
E-mail: radgunti@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8606565704

==Address==
Street: 25 Gilbert In
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments: I disagree with Application 23-25P.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61711>

Stern, Joshua

From: Carol Bettigole via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 9:17 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 9:17pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.219.169.1
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Carol Bettigole
E-mail: cbettigole@icloud.com
Phone Number: 8603052772

==Address==
Street: 24 Jonathan Lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments:

I'm opposed to the proposed apartments on Deming St. No part of that road can handle additional traffic: the sight lines at the Buckland end near Costco are difficult and Costco traffic is intense. The other end of Deming is not as busy but poorly designed.

The parcel itself is on land not zoned for apartments. I'm completely frustrated with zoning which is simply altered when someone wants to build. We've got to protect our land and think harder before allowing variances.

Our schools are one of the town's biggest assets. No one has come forward with a plan for how these schools will accommodate the additional student population these housing units will bring.

Apartments are the wrong thing for that parcel of land. Let's take this opportunity to stand up for what we know is best for our town...it's road safety, it's schools, it's natural beauty. We've got to say no to this proposal.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61706>

Stern, Joshua

From: Virendra Shah via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 7:57 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 7:56pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d281:a500:8181:bb41:6986:694a
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Virendra Shah
E-mail: vnshah76@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8609136072

==Address==
Street: 36 Castlewood Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I disagree with the Application 23-25P in its entirety. I do not see any long term benefit from this project to the town and its residents located in the vicinity. The location of this project is also not ideal and potentially may create more law and order situations including higher traffic.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61701>

Stern, Joshua

From: Francisco Ruiz via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 7:47 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 7:46pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 45.130.83.224
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Francisco Ruiz
E-mail: fjr30093@gmail.com
Phone Number: 7706554974
==Address==
Street: 36 Summit drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I strongly disapprove of Application 23-25P. It will erode the South Windsor community, schools, roads, parks, and much much more.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61691>

Lipe, Michele

From: Contact form at southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 7:37 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: [southwindsorct] Planning and Zoning - Application 23-25P (Sent by Tom Delnicki, tom.delnicki@cga.ct.gov)

Hello mlipe,

Tom Delnicki (tom.delnicki@cga.ct.gov) has sent you a message via your contact form (<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/426/contact>) at southwindsorct.

If you don't want to receive such e-mails, you can change your settings at <https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/426/edit>.

Message:

I have concerns about Application 23-25P. As a lifelong resident I have watched the evolution of the Buckland "Gate Way" Corridor and Deming Rd.

Traffic has become a paramount issue on Deming Rd. I have personally observed the constant flow of traffic and heard from residents expressing their concerns over the impact of this project. If this project goes forward, it will certainly impact the quality of life of each and every resident living on Deming Rd.

My other concern is founded in the Zone change. There was a well thought plan when the "Gate Way Zone" was created. There have been far too many "exceptions" to the zone. At what point does the Gate Way Zone become superfluous and nonexistent?

I like the concept of the project but I stand in opposition to the location of the project and the Zone change. It seems to me that a more favorable location can be found for this project.

Stern, Joshua

From: Mary Catherine Gopal via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 11:01 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 11:00am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d28e:1600:4d23:3838:fa36:692f
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Mary Catherine Gopal

E-mail: rdgopal@yahoo.com

Phone Number: 8602688636

==Address==

Street: 17 Red Rock Lane

City: South windsor

State: Connecticut

Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: My family is against the development of affordable housing on Deming Street. I think this is Application 23-25A.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:

<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61746>

Stern, Joshua

From: Sheila Moseni via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 11:05 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 11:04am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1000:b156:abcf:2002:b4dc:cbf0:dec5
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Sheila Moseni
E-mail: moseni@sbcglobal.net
Phone Number: 8608303216

==Address==
Street: 103 Gilbert Lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I disagree with application 23-25P

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61751>

Stern, Joshua

From: Vahid moseni via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 11:31 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 11:31am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1000:b16a:1459:9841:2e69:4647:f7e8
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Vahid moseni
E-mail: vmoseni@cox.net
Phone Number: 8606814570

==Address==
Street: 103 Gilbert lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I strongly disagree

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61756>

Stern, Joshua

From: Tara Moseni via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 11:48 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 11:47am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d296:6100:b067:ef05:414a:d27a
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Tara Moseni
E-mail: taramoseni@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608033250

==Address==
Street: 103 Gilbert Lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I disagree with 23-25P. South Windsor’s charm will continue to decrease with this new addition as it already has with the several nursing homes on every corner. I’ve lived here the last couples of decades because this town has embodied an environment that is safe, wholesome, and clean. The addition of the new apartments right near Costco is inviting chaos and dysfunction on that already busy street - it’s inviting car accidents & an even longer line at that intersection. The addition of these apartments will make south Windsor look crowded and desperate for residency and quick income.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61761>

Stern, Joshua

From: Katie via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 11:59 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 11:58am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1000:b116:16da:5845:2b76:34db:df77
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Katie
E-mail: nicolekate@att.net
Phone Number: 860-878-4230

==Address==
Street: 150 High Street
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments: We are not in favor of these apartments being built. The new schools are already overflowing. Sullivan Ave is very congested as it is.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61771>

Stern, Joshua

From: Caleigh Tierney via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 12:05 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 12:04pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1000:b146:34b3:3169:319a:9d7:4f2f
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Caleigh Tierney
E-mail: caleigh.tierney@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8607984315

==Address==
Street: 47 Candlewood Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: Please don't allow the apartment building to be built. The schools cannot handle the influx of families that are moving to South Windsor. Furthermore our town is becoming more and more urban and no longer the beautiful farm town I grew up in. I will be buying a home in town within 6 months and would still like to see green space in the town.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61776>

Stern, Joshua

From: Michael Egan via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 12:07 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 12:07pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d103:c900:5861:3d45:f7b3:7c8c
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Michael Egan
E-mail: mrmike115@cox.net
Phone Number: 860-644-8392

==Address==
Street: 115 Pine Knob Dr.
City: So. Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments:

The town should NOT put up any more apartments in town especially on Deming St It will ruin the looks of a nice neighborhood.

The planning and zoning along with planners can't even build new schools with enough room for all the student we have now. Adding more apartments put a strain on Fire, Police and town services. Enough already!!

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61781>

Stern, Joshua

From: Paul via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 1:43 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 1:43pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.219.173.112
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Paul
E-mail: paulлотter1990@hmail.com
Phone Number: 8694060337

==Address==
Street: 150 Birch Hill Drive
City: south Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: South Windsor is no longer a family oriented neighborhood. The town was not intended for such rapid expansion, as we can already see with the over populated schools, traffic congestion and increasing crime rates. All the issues listed here are issues associated with an urban area and not that of a suburban town. Lets not refer to south Windsor as a town that was once considered a liveable neighborhood. It needs to be protected.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61806>

Stern, Joshua

From: Jeffrey trachtenberg via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 1:45 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 1:44pm

Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1000:b10d:6b25:5c2c:6ac5:1a9e:eb17

Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission

==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Jeffrey trachtenberg

E-mail: jeff.trachtenberg@henryschein.com

Phone Number: +1 860-234-7482

==Address==

Street: 111 Oxford Drive

City: South Windsor

State: Connecticut

Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I am opposed to the new apartments on deming road. Please do not approve this change of zoning.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:

<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61811>

Stern, Joshua

From: Sean Biegler via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 2:29 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 2:29pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d21e:6900:a4fc:e0ae:2c3f:d361
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Sean Biegler
E-mail: sbiegler7@gmail.com
Phone Number: 5165080032

==Address==
Street: 30 Sunset Terrace
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: As a newer resident of South Windsor I strongly disagree with Application 23-25P for the construction of 72 apartments on Deming Street. I grew up around this type of housing and my wife and I live in a low income apartment complex for a year and from experience I know it will bring nothing but trouble in the future. Thank you for your time.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61826>

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Richard Murof

I urge the Town to pay special attention to Application 23-25P as it relates to both the neighbors directly abutting the property and the South Windsor community at-large. My additional concerns are as follows:

Betty Ann Wurst
Richard P. Wurst

Apartment #

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Janise Whalen
Richard Whalen
 So Windsor homeowners and taxpayers
 for over 60 years.
 Apt. 202

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Carol Yanaras

APT. 214

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

David R. Parkinson
DAP

Apr. 22/16

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Elmer R. Parkinson

Apt. 226

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Catherine Stenza CATHERINE STENZA
200 DEMING ST. #206

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Raylin S. Hecht

7/8/23

Apt. 303

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Faye Halliwell

APT. 324

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

Judith Fuller
 Judith Fuller
 200 Deming St.
 # 210

To the South Windsor Planning Department:

I am a resident at The Residence at South Windsor Farms, which is a senior living community directly abutting the proposed new apartment complex on Deming Street Buckland Road. My neighbors and I have invested deeply in this community, and while respecting the rights of landowners to utilize their property within the law, I feel that very serious consideration needs to be given to applications like this one seeking to circumvent existing zoning.

As you well know, zoning exceptions, including the creation of overlay zoning, are in the law to benefit the community, not developers. Should the community wish to see something built that is beyond existing zoning, and the CONSENSUS is that such exceptions would be desired by Town residents, that is when creating such exceptions are within the spirit of the law. It only stands to reason that the immediate neighborhood surrounding the proposed exceptions should have the loudest voice in determining consensus.

With that said, I urge the Board to listen carefully to the prevailing sentiments expressed by this neighborhood with regard to this project, while considering the following:

- I believe I speak for many of my neighbors when I say that affordable housing is welcome in our neighborhood. It's critical for economic balance, inclusivity and fairness. With that said, this proposal includes a proportion of affordable units that is way out of scale for just one neighborhood. The state requires our town's housing stock to include 10% affordable, which should be spread evenly throughout the town.
 - Distributing affordable housing throughout the town creates fairness and opportunity for all citizens to live where they wish, without the stigma attached to being forced disproportionately into one building or area.
 - It also keeps property values balanced and strong throughout the town.
 - Not to do so is utterly counter to all accepted planning philosophies, and I cannot understand why anyone would think it's a good idea—especially for the people who may have no choice but to live there!
- This proposal will maximize the size of the building to a scale that will generate enormous traffic burdens on the neighborhood at its most-vulnerable times: morning and evening rush hour. It should be reduced to a scale that makes sense and is generally acceptable to the neighborhood.
- The Town must conduct thorough due diligence on the developer, and just as importantly, the property manager, to ensure they are in compliance with Town ordinances and are good neighbors at their other locations.
- Maximum visual, noise and light screening should underpin any future development plan.

Again, zoning relief is a PRIVILEGE enjoyed by the citizenry—not the developer. We hope that our leaders will protect that privilege on the voters' behalf, listen carefully to what the people who have the most at stake have to say, and make reasonable decisions when it comes to meeting our townwide obligation to provide decent affordable housing options that do not force participants into a concentration of depressed economics. It's just not fair to anyone.

Thank you for your consideration,

*Against smoke seeps for residential use
 Eng the zoning !!
 SM. LeTownman
 APR. 201*

(Carol Y. - 200 Deming Street, Apartment # 214) (49)

For The last 7 years I have made
The Residence of South Windsor Farms
My home.

We have deer, Rabbits and many birds
Spotted in our backyard. It is
Peaceful here with many trees and
flowers

Many of us enjoy the outside path
around the building and the Gazebo.
So Peaceful!

This housing unit being proposed next
door would certainly disturb our
lives!

It would be better suited
elsewhere.

If it is approved, I would consider
moving to another assisted living elsewhere
I hope I won't have to do that and leave
my many friends.
Carol Y.

July 11, 2023

Zoning Board

Gentlemen:

I have been a resident of South Windsor for 60 years
I am strongly against any changes in the Zoning rules that would allow the construction of a new housing project on Deming Street. I never have heard of allowing mutilation of eighteen pine trees that abut another property. It took years for these great pine trees to grow and an hour to be cut down. They are a great barrier between properties.

Deming Street now is a race track for traffic between Buckland Road and Oakland Road. I am 94 years old and I wouldn't dare to cross over Deming Street from the Residence to the M&T bank without being hit by a fast moving vehicle. Traffic is very heavy.

Giving permission to a rich and noisy construction company is not the best use of this property. Noise from this type of housing is not helpful to the elderly resident who starts going to bed at 7:30 at night.

IS SMELLY GARBAGE CANS, DIRTY DUMPTERS, FAST CARS, NOISY AND HEAVY TRAFFIC, POOR LANDSCAPING, DEMOLOSHING NICE PINE TREES AND NOISY CONTRUCTION IN A RESIDENTIAL AREA WHAT WE NEED???. ONLY IN SOUTH WINDSOR IS THIS LAID ON THE RESIDENT WITH GOLDEN MEMORIES.

MY FINAL WORD: TURN THIS APPLICATION DOWN: IT WILL CHANGE THE LANDSCAPE OF THE AREA FOR YEARS!!!!

George W. Gates
C/o The Residence at South Windsor Farms
Apt 320

200 Deming Street.

Dorothy Perzanowski
200 Deming Street - Apartment 218

(51)

As a resident of the assisted living facility at So. Windsor Farms, I am opposed to the zoning change applied for by Metro Realty to replace the former Calvary Church property on Deming Rd.

I cite some of the reasons:

- It is too crowded for all the developments between the former church property and the property of the assisted living facility ~~property~~
 - 2 driveways
 - 1 greenway
 - 2 buildings with balconies
 - parking areas
 - dumpster location
- Loss of privacy as the residents of the proposed building will be "cutting thru" our facility to go shopping, etc.
- Loss of our back yard due to the loss of our trees and evergreens being cut down. We will also lose the habitat for many animals especially the deer.
- Loss of our quiet area due to the activities of the multi-family facility being built, this "noise" will also aggravate our residents with health problems.

Dorothy Perzanowski, resident of The Residence
at So. Windsor Farms

July 8, 2023

Metro Realty,

As a senior resident of "The Residence at South Windsor" I take offense to the proposal to change the quality of life here due to a high density multi family apartment complex adjacent to us. Objections include the loss of privacy because the apartments will be so close with the removal of a beautiful stand of trees which are a part of our favorite landscape - the new will then be an apartment building now with problems accruing with dumpster placement (& possible rodents) - open foot access through our property to shopping & parking. Added to these & other personal objections to the proposal are the questions of busier local traffic, schools overcrowding and the elimination of quiet residential neighborhood living. Can South Windsor township handle and afford the proposal of a "Progress Move Forward"?

Respectfully yours,
 Louise Doyle

Apt. 221
 200 Deming Street

Stern, Joshua

From: Kevin Ehrlich via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 5:21 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 5:21pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.220.101
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Kevin Ehrlich
E-mail: kevin.ehrlich@gmail.com
Phone Number: 860-682-2821

==Address==

Street: 46 Castlewood Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes

Comments: Opposition to Application 23-25P apartment construction project on Deming St. As a resident of the Deming St area, I oppose the proposal to change zoning to allow the construction of apartments on Deming St. There are plenty of other areas in town that are in much greater need of redevelopment and would be a more suitable location for 72 apartments. I propose the Geisseler's Supermarket plaza on Sullivan Ave or the old factory at 515 John Fitch Blvd. Both locations are blighted, have more suitable roadways to handle the traffic of an apartment complex, and likely have more suitable utility infrastructure (water, sewer, electricity, etc.) to support a building project of that size. Not to mention, building at in the Geisseler's Supermarket plaza would provide residents with easy access to grocery shopping, restaurants, and recreation.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61846>

Stern, Joshua

From: Craig Oppenheimer via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 5:48 PM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 5:47pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d28c:4d00:8b3:a6fe:6218:cf56
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Craig Oppenheimer

E-mail: jokoao.fam@cox.net

Phone Number: 8607120664

==Address==

Street: 46 Beechwood Lane

City: South Windsor

State: Connecticut

Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I object to the low income housing project on Deming at this time.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61861>

Stern, Joshua

From: Bryce Decker via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 8:05 AM
To: Planning
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Wednesday, July 12, 2023 - 8:04am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1000:b139:cae2:5cf6:7ba:cbc1:77a2
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Bryce Decker
E-mail: bryce.decker@uconn.edu
Phone Number: 2039177241
==Address==
Street: Strong Rd
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06075

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: Hello, I am a bit concerned about additional development and construction on Deming St. This area already sees a large influx of traffic and during peak times, it's very difficult to drive through this part of town. I already feel the infrastructure is stressed here, and the impacts of another development will only continue to swell these subjects.
Thanks.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61866>

Lipe, Michele

From: Contact form at southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 9:43 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: [southwindsorct] Opposition to Application 23-25 P (Sent by Satish Venkatesan, harini_j@hotmail.com)

Hello mlipe,

Satish Venkatesan (harini_j@hotmail.com) has sent you a message via your contact form (<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/426/contact>) at southwindsorct.

If you don't want to receive such e-mails, you can change your settings at <https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/user/426/edit>.

Message:

Hi Ms.Lipe

My wife was at the P&Z meeting yesterday to talk about our opposition to the proposed 23-25 P application. I am aware that it had to postponed due to time constraints. I am sending this email out to express my concerns with this application:

This application and applications similar to this which add more residential units to our town is only going to put a massive strain on the school system that is already overcrowded even with the newly constructed schools. Resources related to the school are also in shortage. To add to it, daycare facilities are overflowing and so are the town's summer camp options. Having more affordable units with low income households might require a lot of special resources in case those households have any special needs children.

What is the long term vision for South Windsor? Is the town looking at the existing resources and planning for upgrading the resources and town's infrastructure? What about the already crowded schools and school resources? What is the town's plan to mitigate these issues?

This is over and above the other issues of overcrowding of the quiet Deming St neighborhood, traffic and noise concerns and an detrimental effect on our property values.

I request the commission to please take into note all of the above concerns before choosing to approve this or any similar multi-unit residential housings.

Thanks
Satish Venkatesan

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 9:52 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Donna Biancardi via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 2:40 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 2:39pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 98.182.92.39
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Donna Biancardi
E-mail: biancardi.donna@gmail.com
Phone Number: 860-803-7583
==Address==
Street: 35 Summit Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments:

I am extremely opposed to the change of zoning and apartments being built on Deming Road!! I have lived on Summit Drive for over 25 years and in the past 5 years the traffic has been horrendous, and dangerous! With the building of Cosco, Deming Rd has become a cut through road. No one drives the speed limit, stops at stop signs and the light at Deming/Buckland is just matter of time that there will be a bad accident. There have been numerous accidents, thankfully not fatal, although that can easily occur as it is a busy light with difficult visibility and now you want to add apartments to make it worse?!?! With Whole Foods, it is just going to get worse! We do not need 75 apartments built on this street!! The schools in this town can not accept more students, each school is new and already full! Please do not accept or agree to this request to change the zoning or build apartments!!

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61831>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:13 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Anuradha Rajan via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2023 5:05 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Friday, July 7, 2023 - 5:04pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 72.209.28.98
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Anuradha Rajan
E-mail: anuradha.mahalingam@gmail.com
Phone Number: (860) 796-0872
==Address==
Street: 239 Maskel Rd
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments: Please stop the construction! We have enough people in this town. Schools can't function well with more students in the district

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61556>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:12 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Namita via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2023 6:53 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Friday, July 7, 2023 - 6:52pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.214.104.224
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Namita
E-mail: namitakasera@hotmail.com
Phone Number: 8607612850
==Address==
Street: 55 Franks Way
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments:
We disagree with Application 23-25P

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61561>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:12 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Adam Cummings via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2023 6:57 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Friday, July 7, 2023 - 6:56pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.220.162.205
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Adam Cummings
E-mail: serp6@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8609777743
==Address==
Street: 83 Lewis Dr.
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I adamantly oppose the construction of any more apartments in the town of South Windsor.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61566>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:12 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Lindsey Gustafson via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 8, 2023 12:13 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Saturday, July 8, 2023 - 12:12pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:387:15:914::4
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Lindsey Gustafson
E-mail: lindsey.mordhorst@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608813335
==Address==
Street: 200 Main St
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: Disagree with application 23-25P. This would be in OH district and our brand new schools are already overflowing.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61586>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:12 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Danette Mondou via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2023 6:20 AM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Sunday, July 9, 2023 - 6:19am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d207:6200:9d79:c2a2:d0dc:ed86
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Danette Mondou
E-mail: dennylou56@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8608051837
==Address==
Street:
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode:

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: Planning and Zoning meeting at the town hall for Application 23-25P - Demming street is quite small, not sure it can support a place with multiple apartments, was this considered before the developer put in their bid. The subject certainly needs consideration for the people who live there now, the traffic congestion on that street could be a real problem in the future. I recommend more thought into the issue before creating a nightmare on Demming Street.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61621>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:12 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Christine via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 9, 2023 7:08 AM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Sunday, July 9, 2023 - 7:08am
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d21a:6900:206a:d603:782c:fd1b
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Christine
E-mail: chanchuk@cox.net
Phone Number: 8605598239
==Address==
Street: 72 Maskel Rd
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06974

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments:

Please do not put apartments there. This town has lost its charm . It has become too commercial. Out roads are busy enough. Then the schools don't have the space for more students. I work at the high school and the students are having their lunches in the hallways.

There is just no need for this.

Deming Rd has some beautiful homes, why would you put an obese structure there. This just makes no sense in so many ways.

We were atSimsbury Meadows the other night , it is suck a lovely town . The main Street has charm, upscale and warmth, makes you want to move there.

Instead of putting time and energy into apartments; why not invest the time over to refurbish Sullivan ave especially Geisslers plaza PLEASE . That is an embarrassment! Sullivan ave needs a facelift bad.

South Windsor is not a town to have apartments.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61626>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:12 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Satish Venkatesan via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 5:49 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 5:48pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d282:8e06:8c6f:3cdd:496d:c20e
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Satish Venkatesan
E-mail: satish.venkatesan2@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8603285064
==Address==
Street: 18 Gilbert Lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I am very much opposed to the planned zone change for the application 23-35 P. I disagree with that application and am opposed to it

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61666>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Srimayee Ray via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 7:21 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 7:21pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:387:f:5f10::5
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Srimayee Ray
E-mail: Srimayee.ray@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608696104
==Address==
Street: 521 deming street
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments:
disagree with Application 23-25P

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61676>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Jagdev Toor via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 7:25 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 7:25pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2607:fb91:2d95:84a7:6ccb:970e:997a:b5bf
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Jagdev Toor
E-mail: atwood3460@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8609659579
==Address==
Street: 36 Cardinal Way
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: To much traffic

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61681>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Mary Nguyen via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 7:33 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Monday, July 10, 2023 - 7:32pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:1017:b421:f42b:ccc:741d:f888:efbd
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Mary Nguyen
E-mail: marynguyen100@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8608748889
==Address==
Street: 45 red rock lane
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments: I disagree with application 23-25P

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61686>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: RAVNEET SINGH CHHABRA via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 9:45 AM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 9:44am
Submitted by anonymous user: 172.56.37.3
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: RAVNEET SINGH CHHABRA
E-mail: chhabra.ravneet@gmail.com
Phone Number: 8607960763
==Address==
Street: 28 Gilbert Ln
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments:
I Vote NO for the zone change Application: 23-25P.
Thank you !

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61741>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Lisa schwartz via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 12:24 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 12:24pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d28b:bb00:2841:e113:c57c:97ee
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Lisa schwartz
E-mail: schwartzl01@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8607199492
==Address==
Street: 8 Stanley drive
City: South windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I would like to voice my objection to yet another proposal for an apartment complex. Traffic, changing zoning, changing wet lands are all tricks of the board to squeeze every ounce out of this city. Yes, it is now a city. Our schools can't handle more students, our roads can't handle more traffic, our support services can't handle more needs. I am a senior and my taxes can't are killing me. Stop building!!!

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61786>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:11 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Nicole Frost via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 1:18 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 1:18pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 74.93.19.197
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Nicole Frost
E-mail: tigger_kee@hotmail.com
Phone Number: (860) 490-8088
==Address==
Street: 118 Steep Rd
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: NO APARTMENTS. Schools are already overloaded!

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61791>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:10 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Steve Grech via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 1:22 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 1:21pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 32.220.205.159
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Steve Grech
E-mail: stephenigrech@gmail.com
Phone Number: 860-7097677
==Address==
Street: 155 Judy Ln
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments:
This should never happen.
Single Family Zoning, is for that

SJG

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61796>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:10 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Sujatha kalluri via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 1:24 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 1:23pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d28e:ef00:9948:5589:480d:dfba
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Sujatha kalluri
E-mail: kallurisujatha@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8607708950
==Address==
Street:
City:
State: Connecticut
Zipcode:

Minutes or Agendas? Minutes
Comments: I oppose the plan of building apartments on Deming street as it causes the traffic issues as it is congested area

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61801>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:10 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Prasad Karanam via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 1:52 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 1:52pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:387:f:5f1a::5
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Prasad Karanam
E-mail: karanamprasad@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8326564710
==Address==
Street: 443 Deming Street
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: No approval to be granted for the construction of apartments at Deming Street

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61816>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:10 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Shirley Schiumo via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 2:07 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 2:07pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 68.9.237.76
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Shirley Schiumo
E-mail: shirleyschiumo@hotmail.com
Phone Number: 8604321232
==Address==
Street: 15-5 Arthur Drive
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I am not in favor of additional development on Deming St. It will only lead to additional traffic and congestion and further strain on our town resources, especially schools.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61821>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:10 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Donna Biancardi via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 2:40 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 2:39pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 98.182.92.39
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Donna Biancardi
E-mail: biancardi.donna@gmail.com
Phone Number: 860-803-7583
==Address==
Street: 35 Summit Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments:

I am extremely opposed to the change of zoning and apartments being built on Deming Road!! I have lived on Summit Drive for over 25 years and in the past 5 years the traffic has been horrendous, and dangerous! With the building of Cosco, Deming Rd has become a cut through road. No one drives the speed limit, stops at stop signs and the light at Deming/Buckland is just matter of time that there will be a bad accident. There have been numerous accidents, thankfully not fatal, although that can easily occur as it is a busy light with difficult visibility and now you want to add apartments to make it worse?!?! With Whole Foods, it is just going to get worse! We do not need 75 apartments built on this street!! The schools in this town can not accept more students, each school is new and already full! Please do not accept or agree to this request to change the zoning or build apartments!!

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61831>

▪

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:10 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Diyar Almamoury via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 3:23 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 3:22pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 2600:8805:d290:8300:48db:67a1:1664:67ff
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Diyar Almamoury
E-mail: da30203@apps.southwindsorschools.org
Phone Number: 8608828458
==Address==
Street: 312 Deming st
City: South windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: We can NOT allow this construction to be built. I, a 16 year old south Windsor highschool student am advocating for the rest of the town.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61836>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:09 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Selvakumar Kanagarajan via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 3:30 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 3:29pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.221.234
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Selvakumar Kanagarajan
E-mail: selvakumar.kanagarajan@gmail.com
Phone Number: 2013154392
==Address==
Street: 25 french rd
City: south windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I disagree with Application 23-25P

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61841>

Lipe, Michele

From: Poma, Amanda
Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2023 10:09 AM
To: Lipe, Michele
Subject: FW: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

From: Matthew Stoner via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 11, 2023 5:25 PM
To: Poma, Amanda <Amanda.Poma@southwindsor-ct.gov>
Subject: Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form

Submitted on Tuesday, July 11, 2023 - 5:24pm
Submitted by anonymous user: 136.226.18.213
Submitted values are:

Subject: Town Council
==Please provide the following information==
Your Name: Matthew Stoner
E-mail: matthew.stoner@prattwhitney.com
Phone Number: 8602499884
==Address==
Street: 41 Castlewood Dr
City: South Windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas

Comments: I will not be able to attend the July 11 meeting - but a concerned citizen on the proposed development on Deming Road for which I am against due to increased traffic, congestion, and the disruption to the existing landscape.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61851>

Stern, Joshua

From: Hooman Kashi via southwindsorct <cmsmailer@civicplus.com>
Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2023 7:36 AM
To: Planning
Subject: [External]Form submission from: Minutes and Agendas Comment Form.

Submitted on Wednesday, July 19, 2023 - 7:35am
Submitted by anonymous user: 70.172.220.169
Submitted values are:

Subject: Planning and Zoning Commission
==Please provide the following information==

Your Name: Hooman Kashi
E-mail: hooman.kashi@yahoo.com
Phone Number: 8605027465

==Address==
Street: 16 Gilbert Ln
City: South windsor
State: Connecticut
Zipcode: 06074

Minutes or Agendas? Agendas
Comments: I would like to raise my concern for the proposed housing development on Deming st. As someone who lives in the area (Gilbert Ln) and commonly use the already narrow Deming st daily, the proposed construction will cause far more trouble for the area including but not limited to increased traffic (during construction work and after), increased noise and safety issue.

The results of this submission may be viewed at:
<https://www.southwindsor-ct.gov/node/97133/submission/61956>