Stormwater Management Report 1060 Main Street Elderly Housing 1060 Main Street South Windsor, Connecticut

Prepared by:

Design Professionals, Inc. 21 Jeffrey Drive South Windsor, CT 06074

June 1, 2021



Table of Contents

Section	Page
Interaduation	2
Introduction	2
Pre-Development Site Conditions	2
Post-Development Site Conditions	3
Analysis of Results	4
Water Quality	4
Conclusion	4

Appendices

А	Pre-Development Drainage HydroCAD Report
	Existing Pond Stage Storage Report
В	Post-Development Drainage HydroCAD Report
	Proposed Pond Stage Storage Report
С	NRCS Soil Map & Data
D	Soil Testing Results
	Deep Test Pit Log
	Percolation Test Results
E	Water Quality Calculations
	Water Quality Volume Calculation
F	Drainage Area Maps
	Existing Condition Drainage Area Map
	Proposed Condition Drainage Area Map

Introduction

Up Realty, LLC, is proposing a re-development of the existing building located at 1060 Main Street, South Windsor, Connecticut. The properties are referenced on the Town of South Windsor Tax Assessors map as GIS No. 54901060. The proposed development will include the conversion of the existing 31,495 SF building, to an Elderly Housing Apartment Complex. Associated site improvements will include but not be limited to new drive aisles, parking areas, sidewalks, landscaping, lighting, and stormwater management BMP's.

The subject property is 13.10 acres \pm , 3.03 acres \pm of which is proposed to be disturbed during construction. For more information, please refer to the plans entitled "1060 Main Street ~ Site Plan Modification & Special Exception ~ 1060 Main Street ~ South Windsor, CT" prepared by Design Professionals, Inc., and dated June 1, 2021, as amended.

Pre-Development Site Conditions

The existing surficial characteristics of the property can be primarily classified as partially developed and undisturbed woodland area. The western portion of the property closest to Main Street makes up the developed site. This area includes the existing building, other impervious surfaces, and grass areas. The rear / eastern portion of the site is undisturbed woodland area. A wetland boundary was identified at the eastern end of the parcel. This wetland boundary was selected as one of the design points for evaluating impacts to the peak stormwater flows leaving the site. After further evaluation site topography, this design point and two others were identified. All three design points considered are explained below:

- **Design Point 1** Southern property line
- **Design Point 2** Eastern wetland boundary
- **Design Point 3** Northern property line

All stormwater leaving the site at each design point, flows to the Newberry Brook, and ultimately reaches the Connecticut River (Local basin ID 4000-21-1). Existing conditions watershed delineations are identified in the Existing Conditions Drainage Map located in **Appendix F**.

Based on Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) mapping, soil types B, C, & C/ D are located on site. See **Appendix C** for The NRCS Soil Map & Data.

An evaluation was performed to quantify the peak rate of stormwater discharge offsite to the design points. The Natural Resources Conservation Service's TR-55 Manual was followed in predicting the peak rates of runoff and volumes. HydroCAD computer modeling software was utilized. Peak rates of stormwater runoff were evaluated for the 2-, 10-, 25-, 50- and 100-year storm events. For more information, please refer to the enclosed Pre-Development Drainage and Pond HydroCAD Report located in **Appendix A**.

Post-Development Site Conditions

The proposed development includes the conversion of the existing 31,495 SF building to an Elderly Housing Apartment Complex. Associated site improvements will include but not be limited to new drive aisles, parking areas, sidewalks, landscaping, lighting, and stormwater management BMP's.

Three deep test pits and a percolation test were conducted behind the existing building (east side) to assess the sites' ability to infiltrate stormwater. This testing location was selected to minimize disturbance of the existing site. Test pit observations indicated the presence of the sandy loam conditions, consistent with the web soil survey for the area. No mottling was observed, but groundwater was present at 90"<u>+</u> below existing grade on the east side of the building. The soil achieved a percolation rate of about 4.11<u>+</u> in/min (247<u>+</u> in/hour). Soil logs and percolation test results are included in **Appendix D** of this report.

Soil map data indicated that three soil types are present in the development area. All three soil types are expected to have the capacity to transmit water. Two existing drywells were also identified on site, further indicating the expectation of the site to infiltrate water. No deep test pits or percolations tests were conducted around the two drywells. The web soil survey results indicated a soil profile of either sandy loamy fine sand or coarse gravely sand at $25^{"} - 26"$ below existing the grade, for all three soil types. The percolation test was conducted within the sandy loam strata identified from test pit observations at 34" below the existing surface (48" to bottom of perc hole). We anticipate that the percolation test results witnessed would then be a conservative approximation of the infiltration potential for sandy loam locations on site. With this a design infiltration rate of 120 in/hr (observing a factor of safety of 2) was used in the model of both the existing and proposed ponds.

The two existing drywells are proposed to remain. Two new stilling basins are proposed to provide attenuation of increased peak stormwater flows from the increased impervious areas. The bottom of each of the stilling basin was set 36" + below the existing surface to provide adequate separation to the observed groundwater conditions to allow infiltration.

See **Appendix B** for the Post Development Condition and Pond summary HydroCAD reports. The Proposed Conditions Drainage Map for the site can be found in **Appendix F**.

Analysis of Results

The pre-development and post-development conditions were analyzed using HydroCAD consistent with National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) hydrology methods. Three discharge locations were identified as points of interest for assessing downstream effects. The following table contains the data generated from the HydroCAD software:

Reach		2 year	10 year	25 year	50 year	100 year
DP#1 – Southern Property Line	Pre	0.55	2.40	4.00	5.23	6.66
	Post	0.05	0.32	1.42	3.63	6.06
DP#2 – Eastern Wetland Boundary	Pre	0.54	2.37	3.88	5.08	6.50
	Post	0.52	2.32	3.79	4.96	6.34
DP#3 – Northern Property Line	Pre	1.19	4.14	5.89	7.20	8.69
	Post	0.22	3.09	4.51	5.56	6.75

As seen in the table above, the subject project will result in peak runoff rates in the proposed condition that are less than the peak runoff rates of the existing condition for 2-, 10-, 25- and 100-year design storms. Pond reports also indicate a decrease in the existing condition pond elevations for the depressions related to both existing dry wells.

Water Quality

The proposed two stilling basins were sized in accordance with the 2004 Connecticut Stormwater Quality Manual, to provide more than 100% of the determined water quality volume for the site. See **Appendix E** for calculations. The proposed temporary sediment trap was sized in accordance with the 2002 Connecticut Guidelines for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control.

Conclusion

The proposed stormwater management system as discussed herein and shown on the referenced plans is appropriate for the proposed development on the subject site and should not pose any detrimental impacts to the environment.

APPENDIX A Watershed Computations (Pre-Development Drainage HydroCAD Report)



	Existing Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.	Printed 5/10/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LL	C Page 2

Subcatchment E1: E1	Runoff Area=1.981 ac 33.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.02" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=75 Runoff=1.78 cfs 0.168 af
Subcatchment E2: E2	Runoff Area=1.392 ac 34.84% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.02" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=1.00 cfs 0.118 af
Subcatchment E3: E3	Runoff Area=1.552 ac 21.59% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.59" Flow Length=202' Tc=21.4 min CN=66 Runoff=0.55 cfs 0.076 af
Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)	Runoff Area=5.156 ac 2.77% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.40" Flow Length=598' Tc=76.4 min CN=61 Runoff=0.54 cfs 0.172 af
Subcatchment E5: E5	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.82" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=0.68 cfs 0.056 af
Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1 Discarded=0.18 cfs 0.130 af Primary=1.19 cfs	Peak Elev=36.62' Storage=1,446 cf Inflow=1.78 cfs 0.168 af s 0.039 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=1.36 cfs 0.168 af
Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2 Discarded=0.31	Peak Elev=36.48' Storage=1,200 cf Inflow=1.00 cfs 0.118 af cfs 0.118 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.31 cfs 0.118 af
Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)	Inflow=0.55 cfs 0.076 af Primary=0.55 cfs 0.076 af

Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Inflow=1.19 cfs 0.039 af Primary=1.19 cfs 0.039 af

		Existing Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr	10-yr Rainfall=4.89"
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.		Printed 5/10/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LL	_C	Page 3

Subcatchment E1: E1	Runoff Area=1.981 ac 33.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.36" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=75 Runoff=4.34 cfs 0.390 af
Subcatchment E2: E2	Runoff Area=1.392 ac 34.84% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.36" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=2.42 cfs 0.274 af
Subcatchment E3: E3	Runoff Area=1.552 ac 21.59% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.65" Flow Length=202' Tc=21.4 min CN=66 Runoff=1.87 cfs 0.214 af
Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)	Runoff Area=5.156 ac 2.77% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.30" Flow Length=598' Tc=76.4 min CN=61 Runoff=2.37 cfs 0.560 af
Subcatchment E5: E5	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.46" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.28 cfs 0.106 af
Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1 Discarded=0.18 cfs 0.188 af Primary=4.14 cfs	Peak Elev=36.65' Storage=1,603 cf Inflow=4.34 cfs 0.390 af 6 0.202 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=4.31 cfs 0.390 af
Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2 Discarded=0.31	Peak Elev=36.76' Storage=2,782 cf Inflow=2.42 cfs 0.274 af cfs 0.196 af Primary=1.05 cfs 0.078 af Outflow=1.37 cfs 0.274 af
Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)	Inflow=2.40 cfs 0.291 af Primary=2.40 cfs 0.291 af

Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Inflow=4.14 cfs 0.202 af Primary=4.14 cfs 0.202 af

		Existing Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr	25-yr Rainfall=6.02"
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.		Printed 5/10/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	LC	Page 4

Subcatchment E1: E1	Runoff Area=1.981 ac 33.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.30" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=75 Runoff=6.10 cfs 0.545 af
Subcatchment E2: E2	Runoff Area=1.392 ac 34.84% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.30" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=3.40 cfs 0.383 af
Subcatchment E3: E3	Runoff Area=1.552 ac 21.59% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.46" Flow Length=202' Tc=21.4 min CN=66 Runoff=2.86 cfs 0.318 af
Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)	Runoff Area=5.156 ac 2.77% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.02" Flow Length=598' Tc=76.4 min CN=61 Runoff=3.88 cfs 0.867 af
Subcatchment E5: E5	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.54" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.66 cfs 0.139 af
Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1 Discarded=0.18 cfs 0.216 af Primary=5.89 cfs	Peak Elev=36.66' Storage=1,681 cf Inflow=6.10 cfs 0.545 af 6 0.329 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=6.07 cfs 0.545 af
Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2 Discarded=0.31	Peak Elev=36.86' Storage=3,697 cf Inflow=3.40 cfs 0.383 af cfs 0.236 af Primary=1.79 cfs 0.147 af Outflow=2.10 cfs 0.383 af
Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)	Inflow=4.00 cfs 0.464 af Primary=4.00 cfs 0.464 af

Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Inflow=5.89 cfs 0.329 af Primary=5.89 cfs 0.329 af

		Existing Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr	50-yr Rainfall=6.84
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.		Printed 5/10/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	LC	Page 5

Subcatchment E1: E1	Runoff Area=1.981 ac 33.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=75 Runoff=7.41 cfs 0.662 af
Subcatchment E2: E2	Runoff Area=1.392 ac 34.84% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=4.14 cfs 0.465 af
Subcatchment E3: E3	Runoff Area=1.552 ac 21.59% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.08" Flow Length=202' Tc=21.4 min CN=66 Runoff=3.63 cfs 0.398 af
Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)	Runoff Area=5.156 ac 2.77% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.59" Flow Length=598' Tc=76.4 min CN=61 Runoff=5.08 cfs 1.112 af
Subcatchment E5: E5	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.32" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.94 cfs 0.163 af
Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1 Discarded=0.18 cfs 0.233 af Primary=7.20 cfs	Peak Elev=36.67' Storage=1,737 cf Inflow=7.41 cfs 0.662 af s 0.429 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=7.38 cfs 0.662 af
Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2 Discarded=0.31	Peak Elev=36.93' Storage=4,381 cf Inflow=4.14 cfs 0.465 af cfs 0.262 af Primary=2.33 cfs 0.203 af Outflow=2.65 cfs 0.465 af
Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)	Inflow=5.23 cfs 0.601 af Primary=5.23 cfs 0.601 af

Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Inflow=7.20 cfs 0.429 af Primary=7.20 cfs 0.429 af

		Existing (Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr	100-yr Rain	nfall=7.76"
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.		Printed	5/10/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions	LLC		Page 6
HydroCAD® 10.00-23 s/II 09320 @ 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions	LLC		Page

Subcatchment E1: E1	Runoff Area=1.981 ac 33.42% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.83" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=75 Runoff=8.90 cfs 0.797 af
Subcatchment E2: E2	Runoff Area=1.392 ac 34.84% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.83" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=4.98 cfs 0.560 af
Subcatchment E3: E3	Runoff Area=1.552 ac 21.59% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.81" Flow Length=202' Tc=21.4 min CN=66 Runoff=4.52 cfs 0.493 af
Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)	Runoff Area=5.156 ac 2.77% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.26" Flow Length=598' Tc=76.4 min CN=61 Runoff=6.50 cfs 1.402 af
Subcatchment E5: E5	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.22" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=2.24 cfs 0.191 af
Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1 Discarded=0.18 cfs 0.247 af Primary=8.69 cfs	Peak Elev=36.68' Storage=1,797 cf Inflow=8.90 cfs 0.797 af s 0.549 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=8.87 cfs 0.797 af
Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2 Discarded=0.31	Peak Elev=37.01' Storage=5,165 cf Inflow=4.98 cfs 0.560 af cfs 0.289 af Primary=2.95 cfs 0.271 af Outflow=3.26 cfs 0.560 af
Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)	Inflow=6.66 cfs 0.764 af Primary=6.66 cfs 0.764 af

Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Inflow=8.69 cfs 0.549 af Primary=8.69 cfs 0.549 af

Summary for Subcatchment E1: E1

Runoff = 1.78 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.168 af, Depth= 1.02"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

	Area	(ac) C	CN Des	cription		
	0.	759	61 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
0.305 74 >75% Grass cover, Good,						, HSG C
	0.	208	55 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
	0.	047	70 Wo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
*	0.	662	98 IMP	ERVIOUS		
	1.	981	75 Wei	ahted Ave	ade	
	1.	319	66.5	8% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	662	33.4	2% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·
	11.0	100	0.0400	0.15		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.09"
	0.4	30	0.0400	1.40		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grass SCF
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.6	25	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland SCF
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.3	15	0.0200	0.99		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grass SCF
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.8	103	0.0160	2.04		Shallow Concentrated Flow, PVMT SCF
						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
	13.1	273	Total			

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09*" Printed 5/10/2021 C Page 8

Subcatchment E1: E1



Summary for Subcatchment E2: E2

Runoff = 1.00 cfs @ 12.35 hrs, Volume= 0.118 af, Depth= 1.02"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

	Area	(ac) (CN E	Desc	ription		
	0.	737	61 >	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good,	HSG B
	0.	170	74 >	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good,	, HSG C
*	0.	485	98 I	MPE	RVIOUS		
	1.	392	75 V	Neig	hted Aver	age	
	0.	907	6	65.16	5% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	485	3	34.84	4% Imperv	vious Area	
	_						
	Tc	Length	Slo	pe	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft	:/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	21.0	100	0.00	080	0.08		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.09"
	1.8	126	0.02	280	1.17		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grass SCF
							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.4	70	0.02	250	3.21		Shallow Concentrated Flow, PVMT SCF
_							Paved Kv= 20.3 fps

23.2 296 Total

Subcatchment E2: E2



Summary for Subcatchment E3: E3

Runoff = 0.55 cfs @ 12.37 hrs, Volume= 0.076 af, Depth= 0.59"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

Area	. (ac)	CN	Desc	cription					
C	0.225 61 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B								
C	0.042 74 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C								
C	.939	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B				
C).011	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C				
* C	.335	98	IMPI	ERVIOUS					
1	.552	66	Weig	ghted Aver	age				
1	.217		78.4	1% Pervio	us Area				
C	.335		21.5	9% Imperv	vious Area				
Тс	Lengt	h :	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(fee	t)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
4.9	3	2 0	.0120	0.11		Sheet Flow, Grass SF			
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.09"			
0.6	3	7 0	.0140	0.96		Sheet Flow, PVMT SF			
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.09"			
12.5	3	5 0	.0100	0.05		Sheet Flow, Woodland SF			
						Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.09"			
3.4	9	8 0	.0090	0.47		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland SCF			
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps			
21.4	20	2 T	otal						

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"* Printed 5/10/2021 C Page 11

Subcatchment E3: E3



Summary for Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)

Runoff = 0.54 cfs @ 13.32 hrs, Volume= 0.172 af, Depth= 0.40"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

	Area	(ac) (CN De	scription		
	0.	188	61 >7	5% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
	3.	495	55 W	oods, Good	, HSG B	
*	1.	330	74 W	oods, Good	, HSG C/D	
*	0.	143	98 IM	PERVIOUS		
	5.	156	61 W	eighted Ave	rage	
	5.	013	97	.23% Pervic	ous Area	
	0.	143	2.7	7% Imperv	ious Area	
	Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	8.7	41	0.012	0.08		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.09"
	30.6	59	0.012	0.03		Sheet Flow, Wood SF
						Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.09"
	37.1	498	0.008	0.22		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland SCF
						Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
	76.4	598	Total			

Subcatchment E4: E4 (EDP2)





Summary for Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1

Inflow Area =	1.981 ac, 33.42% Impervious, Inflow	Depth = 1.02" for 2-yr event
Inflow =	1.78 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume=	0.168 af
Outflow =	1.36 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume=	0.168 af, Atten= 23%, Lag= 8.5 min
Discarded =	0.18 cfs @ 11.84 hrs, Volume=	0.130 af
Primary =	1.19 cfs @ 12.33 hrs, Volume=	0.039 af
Secondary =	0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 36.62' @ 12.33 hrs Surf.Area= 28 sf Storage= 1,446 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 57.7 min (925.3 - 867.6)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	33.00'	8 cf	6.00'D x 1.85'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
			52 cf Overall - 32 cf Embedded = 21 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	33.00'	23 cf	4.00'D x 1.85'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder Inside #1
			32 cf Overall - 4.0" Wall Thickness = 23 cf
#3	34.85'	3 cf	2.00'D x 1.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
#4	35.85'	23,050 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc) - Impervious
		23,085 cf	Total Available Storage

Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
35.8	35	12	0	0	
36.0	00	13	2	2	
36.5	50	3,433	862	863	
37.0)0	12,642	4,019	4,882	
37.5	50	24,994	9,409	14,291	
37.6	60	27,388	2,619	16,910	
37.7	70	31,031	2,921	19,831	
37.8	30	33,354	3,219	23,050	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	5	
#1	Discarde	d 33.00'	120.000 in/hr I	Exfiltration ove	er Wetted area
#2	Primary	36.60'	150.0' long x	1.0' breadth Bi	road-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.	20 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00		
			Coef. (English)) 2.69 2.72 2.	75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
	• •		3.30 3.31 3.3	2	
#3	Seconda	ry 37.60'	39.0' long x 1	.0' breadth Bro	bad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.	20 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00		
			Coet. (English)) 2.69 2.72 2.	/5 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30 3.31 3.3	2	

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.18 cfs @ 11.84 hrs HW=36.01' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.18 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.18 cfs @ 12.33 hrs HW=36.62' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) **2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir** (Weir Controls 1.18 cfs @ 0.39 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=33.00' TW=30.10' (Dynamic Tailwater) —3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1

Summary for Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

Inflow Area	ι =	1.392 ac, 3	4.84% Imp	ervious,	Inflow D	epth =	1.02"	for 2-yr	event
Inflow	=	1.00 cfs @	12.35 hrs,	Volume	=	0.118	af		
Outflow	=	0.31 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume	=	0.118	af, Att	en= 69%,	Lag= 0.0 min
Discarded	=	0.31 cfs @	12.12 hrs,	Volume	=	0.118	af		
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000 a	af		

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 36.48' @ 12.95 hrs Surf.Area= 28 sf Storage= 1,200 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 24.9 min calculated for 0.118 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 24.9 min (901.8 - 876.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stor	age	Storage D	escription	
#1	30.10'	2	0 cf	6.00'D x 4	.49'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder
				127 cf Ov	erall - 77 cf Er	nbedded = 50 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	30.10'	5	6 cf	4.00'D x 4	.49'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder Inside #1
				77 cf Ove	rall - 4.0" Wall	Thickness = 56 cf
#3	34.59'	:	3 cf	2.00'D x 1	.00'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
#4	35.59'	12,34	4 cf	Custom S	Stage Data (Pr	ismatic) Listed below (Recalc) - Impervious
		12,42	3 cf	Total Avai	lable Storage	
Elevation	Su	ırf.Area	Inc.	Store	Cum.Store	
(feet)		(sq-ft)	(cubic	-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
35.59		12		0	0	
36.00		362		77	77	
36.50		4,053	-	1,104	1,180	
37.00		11,319	:	3,843	5,023	
37.50		17,962	-	7,320	12,344	
Device F	Routing	Invert	Outle	t Devices		
#1 C	Discarded	30.10'	120.0	00 in/hr E	xfiltration ove	er Wetted area
#2 P	rimary	36.50'	3.0' lo	ong x 1.0'	breadth Broa	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir
	-		Head	(feet) 0.2	20 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00		
			Coef.	(English)	2.69 2.72 2.	75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30	3.31 3.32	2	
Discarded	OutFlow	Max=0.31 cfs xfiltration Con	: @ 12 trols 0	2.12 hrs H 9.31 cfs)	W=34.98' (F	ree Discharge)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=30.10' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09*" Printed 5/10/2021 C Page 17

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 18

Inflow Area	a =	2.944 ac, 2	7.85% Impe	ervious, Inflow D	epth = 0.31"	for 2-yr event
Inflow	=	0.55 cfs @	12.37 hrs,	Volume=	0.076 af	
Primary	=	0.55 cfs @	12.37 hrs,	Volume=	0.076 af, Att	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)

Summary for Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 19

Inflow Area	a =	1.981 ac, 3	3.42% Impe	ervious, In	flow Depth =	0.23	3" for 2-yr event
Inflow	=	1.19 cfs @	12.33 hrs,	Volume=	0.039	af	
Primary	=	1.19 cfs @	12.33 hrs,	Volume=	0.039	af, A	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

4512 - HydroCAD *Tyμ* Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. <u>HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC</u>

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"* Printed 5/10/2021 _C Page 20

Subcatchment E1: E1 **Hydrograph** Runoff 4.34 cfs Type III 24-hr 4 10-yr Rainfall=4.89" Runoff Area=1.981 ac Runoff Volume=0.390 af 3-Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=2.36" Flow Length=273' 2-Tc=13.1 min **CN=75** 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours) Subcatchment E2: E2

Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89" 4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Subcatchment E3: E3

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 21

Time (hours)

4512 - HydroCAD Type I Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"* Printed 5/10/2021 <u>C Page 22</u>

Subcatchment E5: E5







4512 - HydroCADType InPrepared by Design Professionals, Inc.HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"* Printed 5/10/2021 <u>C Page 23</u>



Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

4512 - HydroCAD *Ty* Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"* Printed 5/10/2021 LC Page 24



Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02"* Printed 5/10/2021

Page 25

Subcatchment E1: E1



Time (hours)

4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Time (hours)

Subcatchment E3: E3

Existing Conditions Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Printed 5/10/2021 Page 26

4512 - HydroCAD Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 5/10/2021 Page 27 Subcatchment E5: E5 **Hydrograph** Runoff 1.66 cfs

Existing Conditions







4512 - HydroCADTypePrepared by Design Professionals, Inc.HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

3-

Flow (cfs)

Pad EP: Existing Drywell 2 Furgram

Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing)



Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02"* Printed 5/10/2021 <u>C Page 28</u>



Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/10/2021 vare Solutions LLC Page 30

Subcatchment E1: E1


Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84" 4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Subcatchment E3: E3

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 31

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/10/2021 s LLC Page 32

Subcatchment E5: E5









Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 33

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

0

Hydrograph Inflow
 Primary 8-Inflow Area=1.981 ac 7.20 cfs 7-6-5 Flow (cfs) 4-3-2-1-

Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 34

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

0-

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76*" Printed 5/10/2021 Is LLC Page 35

Subcatchment E1: E1



4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Existing Conditions Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76" Printed 5/10/2021 Page 36

Time (hours)

4512 - HydroCADTypePrepared by Design Professionals, Inc.HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Existing Conditions *Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76*" Printed 5/10/2021 LLC Page 37

Subcatchment E5: E5







Hydrograph Inflow Outflow 4.98 cfs Inflow Area=1.392 ac Discarded Primary Peak Elev=37.01' 5 Storage=5,165 cf 3.26 cfc 4 Flow (cfs) 2.95 cfs 3 2 0.3 n 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours) Link EDP1: DP1 (Existing) Hydrograph Inflow
 Primary 6.66 cfs Inflow Area=2.944 ac 6.66 cfs 6-5 Flow (cfs) 3-2-

Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

0

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 38



Link EPD3: DP3 (Existing)

Summary for Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1

Existing Conditions

Printed 5/10/2021

Page 40

Inflow Area =	=	1.981 ac,	33.42% Imp	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	4.8	3" for	100	-yr even	ıt
Inflow =	=	8.90 cfs @	12.18 hrs,	Volume	=	0.797	af				
Outflow =	=	8.87 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume	=	0.797	af, J	Atten= 0	1%,	Lag= 0.	7 min
Discarded =	=	0.18 cfs @	9.66 hrs,	Volume	=	0.247	af				
Primary =	=	8.69 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume	=	0.549	af				
Secondary =	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000	af				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 36.68' @ 12.19 hrs Surf Area= 28 sf Storage= 1,797 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 32.2 min (854.1 - 822.0)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	33.00'	8 cf	6.00'D x 1.85'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
			52 cf Overall - 32 cf Embedded = 21 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	33.00'	23 cf	4.00'D x 1.85'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder Inside #1
			32 cf Overall - 4.0" Wall Thickness = 23 cf
#3	34.85'	3 cf	2.00'D x 1.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
#4	35.85'	23,050 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc) - Impervious
		23,085 cf	Total Available Storage

Elevatio (fee	on S et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
35.8	35	12	0	0	
36.0	00	13	2	2	
36.5	50	3,433	862	863	
37.0	00	12,642	4,019	4,882	
37.5	50	24,994	9,409	14,291	
37.6	60	27,388	2,619	16,910	
37.7	70	31,031	2,921	19,831	
37.8	30	33,354	3,219	23,050	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Discarded	33.00'	120.000 in/hr Ex	diltration ove	r Wetted area
#2	Primary	36.60'	150.0' long x 1.	0' breadth Br	oad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20	0 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00		
			Coef. (English)	2.69 2.72 2.7	75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30 3.31 3.32		
#3	Secondary	y 37.60'	39.0' long x 1.0	' breadth Bro	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head (feet) 0.20	0 0.40 0.60 (0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50 3.00		
			Coef. (English)	2.69 2.72 2.7	75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30 3.31 3.32		

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.18 cfs @ 9.66 hrs HW=35.06' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.18 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=8.67 cfs @ 12.19 hrs HW=36.68' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 8.67 cfs @ 0.75 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=33.00' TW=30.10' (Dynamic Tailwater) —3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond EP1: Existing Drywell 1

Summary for Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

Inflow Area	a =	1.392 ac, 3	4.84% Impe	ervious, Inflow	Depth = 4.	.83" for	100-yr event	Ī
Inflow	=	4.98 cfs @	12.31 hrs,	Volume=	0.560 af			
Outflow	=	3.26 cfs @	12.58 hrs,	Volume=	0.560 af,	Atten= 3	4%, Lag= 1	5.9 min
Discarded	=	0.31 cfs @	11.16 hrs,	Volume=	0.289 af			
Primary	=	2.95 cfs @	12.58 hrs,	Volume=	0.271 af			

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 37.01' @ 12.58 hrs Surf.Area= 28 sf Storage= 5,165 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 31.4 min (862.7 - 831.3)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stor	age S	Storage D	escription	
#1	30.10'	2	20 cf	6.00'D x 4	49'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder
				127 cf Ove	erall - 77 cf En	nbedded = 50 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	30.10'	5	6 cf	4.00'D x 4	49'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder Inside #1
			-	77 cf Over	all - 4.0" Wall	Thickness = 56 cf
#3	34.59'		3 cf	2.00'D x 1	00'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
#4	35.59'	12,34	4 cf	Custom S	tage Data (Pr	ismatic) Listed below (Recalc) - Impervious
		12,42	23 cf	Total Avail	able Storage	
Elevatio	on Si	ırf Area	Inc S	Store	Cum Store	
(fee	et)	(sa-ft)	(cubic-	feet)	(cubic-feet)	
35 5	59	12	(0	0	
36.0	00	362		77	77	
36.5	50	4 053	1	104	1 180	
37.0	00	11.319	3	.843	5.023	
37.5	50	17,962	7	,320	12,344	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet	Devices		
#1	Discarded	30.10'	120.0	00 in/hr E	xfiltration ove	er Wetted area
#2	Primary	36.50'	3.0' lo	ong x 1.0'	breadth Broa	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head	(feet) 0.2	0 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00 [´]		
			Coef.	(English)	2.69 2.72 2.	75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30	3.31 3.32		
Discard	ed OutFlow	Max=0.31 cfs	s @ 11	.16 hrs H	W=35.04' (F	ree Discharge)

1=Exfiltration (Exfiltration Controls 0.31 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=2.95 cfs @ 12.58 hrs HW=37.01' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) 2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 2.95 cfs @ 1.95 fps)

Hydrograph InflowOutflowDiscarded 4.98 cfs Inflow Area=1.392 ac Primary Peak Elev=37.01' 5 Storage=5,165 cf 4 3.26 cfs Flow (cfs) 2.95 cfs 3 2 0.3 0-0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Pond EP2: Existing Drywell 2

Printed 5/10/2021 Page 43

Existing Conditions Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76"

APPENDIX B Watershed Computations (Post-Development Drainage HydroCAD Report)



		Proposed Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-	hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"
Prepared by Design Professiona	ls, Inc.	Printed 5/11/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 20	019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 2
Time sp Runoff by Reach routing by Dyn	an=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 1801 points SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN -Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-In	d method
Subaatahmant D1 · D1	Bunoff Aroa_1 559 ac 41 18% Impony	aus Runoff Dopth_1 10"

Subcatchment PT: PT	Flow Length= $273'$ Tc= 13.1 min CN= 78 Runoff= 1.68 cfs 0.155 af
Subcatchment P2: P2	Runoff Area=1.314 ac 34.09% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.02" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=0.94 cfs 0.112 af
Subcatchment P3: P3	Runoff Area=0.676 ac 43.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.13" Tc=8.0 min CN=77 Runoff=0.81 cfs 0.064 af
Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)	Runoff Area=4.412 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.40" Flow Length=533' Tc=62.3 min CN=61 Runoff=0.52 cfs 0.147 af
Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.82" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=0.68 cfs 0.056 af
Subcatchment P6: P6	Runoff Area=0.267 ac 59.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.74" Tc=6.0 min CN=86 Runoff=0.54 cfs 0.039 af
Subcatchment P7: P7	Runoff Area=0.631 ac 35.02% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.91" Tc=8.0 min CN=73 Runoff=0.58 cfs 0.048 af
Subcatchment P8: P8 Flow Length=	Runoff Area=0.739 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.25" 181' Slope=0.0160 '/' Tc=45.9 min CN=56 Runoff=0.05 cfs 0.015 af
Subcatchment R1: R1	Runoff Area=0.067 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.20 cfs 0.016 af
Subcatchment R2: R2	Runoff Area=0.050 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.15 cfs 0.012 af
Subcatchment R3: R3	Runoff Area=0.091 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.27 cfs 0.022 af
Subcatchment R4: R4	Runoff Area=0.117 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.35 cfs 0.028 af
Subcatchment R5: R5	Runoff Area=0.164 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.86" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.49 cfs 0.039 af
Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1 Discarded=0.70 cfs 0.153 af Primary=0.22	Peak Elev=36.61' Storage=988 cf Inflow=1.68 cfs 0.155 af cfs 0.002 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.92 cfs 0.155 af
Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2 Discarded=0.	Peak Elev=34.95' Storage=4,142 cf Inflow=2.42 cfs 0.269 af 39 cfs 0.269 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.39 cfs 0.269 af
Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1 Discarded=0.	Peak Elev=33.48' Storage=764 cf Inflow=1.32 cfs 0.109 af 72 cfs 0.109 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.72 cfs 0.109 af

Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)	Inflow=0.05 cfs 0.015 af Primary=0.05 cfs 0.015 af
Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)	Inflow=0.52 cfs 0.147 af Primary=0.52 cfs 0.147 af
Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)	Inflow=0.22 cfs 0.002 af Primary=0.22 cfs 0.002 af

4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.	Printed 5/11/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 Hyd	IroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 4
Time span=0.00 Runoff by SCS TI Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-In	0-36.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 1801 points R-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN d method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment P1: P1	Runoff Area=1.559 ac 41.18% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.62" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=78 Runoff=3.81 cfs 0.340 af
Subcatchment P2: P2	Runoff Area=1.314 ac 34.09% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.36" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=2.29 cfs 0.258 af
Subcatchment P3: P3	Runoff Area=0.676 ac 43.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.53" Tc=8.0 min CN=77 Runoff=1.87 cfs 0.143 af
Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)	Runoff Area=4.412 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.30" Flow Length=533' Tc=62.3 min CN=61 Runoff=2.32 cfs 0.479 af
Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.46" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.28 cfs 0.106 af
Subcatchment P6: P6	Runoff Area=0.267 ac 59.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.36" Tc=6.0 min CN=86 Runoff=1.04 cfs 0.075 af
Subcatchment P7: P7	Runoff Area=0.631 ac 35.02% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.19" Tc=8.0 min CN=73 Runoff=1.50 cfs 0.115 af
Subcatchment P8: P8 Flow Length=181'	Runoff Area=0.739 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.99" Slope=0.0160 '/' Tc=45.9 min CN=56 Runoff=0.32 cfs 0.061 af
Subcatchment R1: R1	Runoff Area=0.067 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.65" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.32 cfs 0.026 af
Subcatchment R2: R2	Runoff Area=0.050 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.65" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.24 cfs 0.019 af
Subcatchment R3: R3	Runoff Area=0.091 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.65" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.43 cfs 0.035 af
Subcatchment R4: R4	Runoff Area=0.117 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.65" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.56 cfs 0.045 af
Subcatchment R5: R5	Runoff Area=0.164 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.65" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.78 cfs 0.064 af
Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1 Discarded=0.70 cfs 0.259 af Primary=3.09 cfs	Peak Elev=36.64' Storage=1,132 cf Inflow=3.81 cfs 0.340 af 0.081 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=3.79 cfs 0.340 af
Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2 Discarded=0.39 c	Peak Elev=36.15' Storage=12,358 cf Inflow=5.13 cfs 0.565 af cfs 0.565 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=0.39 cfs 0.565 af
Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1	Peak Elev=33.93' Storage=1,597 cf Inflow=2.68 cfs 0.215 af

Proposed Conditions

 nd 1
 Peak Elev=33.93'
 Storage=1,597 cf
 Inflow=2.68 cfs
 0.215 af

 Discarded=1.45 cfs
 0.215 af
 Primary=0.00 cfs
 0.000 af
 Outflow=1.45 cfs
 0.215 af

Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)	Inflow=0.32 cfs 0.061 af Primary=0.32 cfs 0.061 af
Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)	Inflow=2.32 cfs 0.479 af Primary=2.32 cfs 0.479 af
Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)	Inflow=3.09 cfs 0.081 af Primary=3.09 cfs 0.081 af

		Proposed	Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr	25-yr Rair	nfall=6.02"
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.		Printed	5/11/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solution	s LLC		Page 6
	1001		

Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 1801 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment P1: P1	Flov	Runoff Area=1.8 w Length=273'	559 ac 41.18 Tc=13.1 min	% Impervio CN=78 F	ous Runoff Runoff=5.23	Depth=3.60" cfs 0.467 af
Subcatchment P2: P2	Flov	Runoff Area=1.3 w Length=296'	314 ac 34.09 Tc=23.2 min	% Impervio CN=75	ous Runoff Runoff=3.21	Depth=3.30" cfs 0.361 af
Subcatchment P3: P3		Runoff Area=0.0	676 ac 43.64 Tc=8.0 min	% Impervio CN=77 F	ous Runoff Runoff=2.58	Depth=3.50" cfs 0.197 af
Subcatchment P4: P4 (Pro	posed E4) Flov	Runoff Area=4 w Length=533'	.412 ac 0.00 Tc=62.3 min	% Impervio CN=61 F	ous Runoff Runoff=3.79	Depth=2.02" cfs 0.742 af
Subcatchment P5: P5 (Pro	posed E5)	Runoff Area=0.3	368 ac 70.11 Tc=10.0 min	% Impervio CN=87 F	ous Runoff Runoff=1.66	Depth=4.54" cfs 0.139 af
Subcatchment P6: P6		Runoff Area=0.3	267 ac 59.55 Tc=6.0 min	% Impervio CN=86 F	ous Runoff Runoff=1.35	Depth=4.43" cfs 0.099 af
Subcatchment P7: P7		Runoff Area=0.0	631 ac 35.02 Tc=8.0 min	% Impervio CN=73 F	ous Runoff Runoff=2.14	Depth=3.11" cfs 0.163 af
Subcatchment P8: P8	ow Length=181' Sl	Runoff Area=0 ope=0.0160 '/'	0.739 ac 0.00 Tc=45.9 min	% Impervio CN=56 F	ous Runoff Runoff=0.58	Depth=1.61" cfs 0.099 af
Subcatchment R1: R1	R	unoff Area=0.0	67 ac 100.00 Tc=6.0 min	% Impervio CN=98 F	ous Runoff Runoff=0.39	Depth=5.78" cfs 0.032 af
Subcatchment R2: R2	R	unoff Area=0.0	50 ac 100.00 Tc=6.0 min	% Impervio CN=98 F	ous Runoff Runoff=0.29	Depth=5.78" cfs 0.024 af
Subcatchment R3: R3	R	unoff Area=0.09	91 ac 100.00 Tc=6.0 min	% Impervio CN=98 F	ous Runoff Runoff=0.54	Depth=5.78" cfs 0.044 af
Subcatchment R4: R4	R	unoff Area=0.1	17 ac 100.00 Tc=6.0 min	% Impervio CN=98 F	ous Runoff Runoff=0.69	Depth=5.78" cfs 0.056 af
Subcatchment R5: R5	R	unoff Area=0.1	64 ac 100.00 Tc=6.0 min	% Impervio CN=98 F	ous Runoff Runoff=0.97	Depth=5.78" cfs 0.079 af
Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1 Discarded=0.70 cfs 0.323 af P	rimary=4.51 cfs 0.1	Peak Elev=30 44 af Seconda	6.65' Storage ary=0.00 cfs (=1,187 cf).000 af O	Inflow=5.23 outflow=5.21	cfs 0.467 af cfs 0.467 af
Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2	Discarded=0.39 cfs	Peak Elev=36 0.634 af Prima	.25' Storage= ary=1.89 cfs(13,901 cf).134 af O	Inflow=6.95 outflow=2.28	cfs 0.768 af cfs 0.768 af
Pond PP1: Proposed Pond	l 1 Discarded=1.94 cfs	Peak Elev=34 0.288 af Prima	4.21' Storage ary=0.00 cfs (=2,171 cf).000 af O	Inflow=3.59 outflow=1.94	cfs 0.288 af cfs 0.288 af

Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)	Inflow=2.47 cfs 0.233 af
	Primary=2.47 cfs 0.233 af
Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)	Inflow=3.79 cfs 0.742 af
	Primary=3.79 cfs 0.742 af
Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)	Inflow=4.51 cfs 0.144 af
	Primary=4.51 cfs 0.144 af

		Proposed Conditions
4512 - HydroCAD	Type III 24-hr	50-yr Rainfall=6.84'
Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.		Printed 5/11/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	LC	Page 8
Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 18 Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, W Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method - Pond routing b	301 points /eighted-CN by Dyn-Stor-Ind	method

Subcatchment P1: P1	Runoff Area=1.559 ac 41.18% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.33" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=78 Runoff=6.28 cfs 0.563 af
Subcatchment P2: P2	Runoff Area=1.314 ac 34.09% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.01" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=3.91 cfs 0.439 af
Subcatchment P3: P3	Runoff Area=0.676 ac 43.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.22" Tc=8.0 min CN=77 Runoff=3.11 cfs 0.238 af
Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)	Runoff Area=4.412 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.59" Flow Length=533' Tc=62.3 min CN=61 Runoff=4.96 cfs 0.951 af
Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.32" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=1.94 cfs 0.163 af
Subcatchment P6: P6	Runoff Area=0.267 ac 59.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.21" Tc=6.0 min CN=86 Runoff=1.58 cfs 0.116 af
Subcatchment P7: P7	Runoff Area=0.631 ac 35.02% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.80" Tc=8.0 min CN=73 Runoff=2.62 cfs 0.200 af
Subcatchment P8: P8 Flow Length=18	Runoff Area=0.739 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.11" 1' Slope=0.0160 '/' Tc=45.9 min CN=56 Runoff=0.78 cfs 0.130 af
Subcatchment R1: R1	Runoff Area=0.067 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.60" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.45 cfs 0.037 af
Subcatchment R2: R2	Runoff Area=0.050 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.60" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.34 cfs 0.028 af
Subcatchment R3: R3	Runoff Area=0.091 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.60" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.61 cfs 0.050 af
Subcatchment R4: R4	Runoff Area=0.117 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.60" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.78 cfs 0.064 af
Subcatchment R5: R5	Runoff Area=0.164 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.60" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.10 cfs 0.090 af
Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1 Discarded=0.70 cfs 0.369 af Primary=5.56 cf	Peak Elev=36.66' Storage=1,225 cf Inflow=6.28 cfs 0.563 af fs 0.194 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=6.26 cfs 0.563 af
Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2 Discarded=0.3	Peak Elev=36.29' Storage=14,518 cf Inflow=8.30 cfs 0.920 af 9 cfs 0.668 af Primary=4.15 cfs 0.252 af Outflow=4.53 cfs 0.920 af
Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1 Discarded=2.30	Peak Elev=34.41' Storage=2,602 cf Inflow=4.28 cfs 0.342 af 0 cfs 0.342 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=2.30 cfs 0.342 af

Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)	Inflow=4.83 cfs 0.382 af
	Primary=4.83 cfs 0.382 af
Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)	Inflow=4.96 cfs 0.951 af
	Primary=4.96 cfs 0.951 af
Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)	Inflow=5.56 cfs 0.194 af
	Primary=5.56 cfs 0.194 af

4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 Hyd	Proposed Conditions <i>Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76"</i> Printed 5/11/2021 droCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 10
Time span=0.00 Runoff by SCS T Reach routing by Dyn-Stor-In	0-36.00 hrs, dt=0.02 hrs, 1801 points R-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN id method - Pond routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method
Subcatchment P1: P1	Runoff Area=1.559 ac 41.18% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.17" Flow Length=273' Tc=13.1 min CN=78 Runoff=7.47 cfs 0.672 af
Subcatchment P2: P2	Runoff Area=1.314 ac 34.09% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.83" Flow Length=296' Tc=23.2 min CN=75 Runoff=4.70 cfs 0.528 af
Subcatchment P3: P3	Runoff Area=0.676 ac 43.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.05" Tc=8.0 min CN=77 Runoff=3.71 cfs 0.285 af
Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)	Runoff Area=4.412 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.26" Flow Length=533' Tc=62.3 min CN=61 Runoff=6.34 cfs 1.200 af
Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)	Runoff Area=0.368 ac 70.11% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.22" Tc=10.0 min CN=87 Runoff=2.24 cfs 0.191 af
Subcatchment P6: P6	Runoff Area=0.267 ac 59.55% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.10" Tc=6.0 min CN=86 Runoff=1.83 cfs 0.136 af
Subcatchment P7: P7	Runoff Area=0.631 ac 35.02% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.60" Tc=8.0 min CN=73 Runoff=3.17 cfs 0.242 af
Subcatchment P8: P8 Flow Length=181	Runoff Area=0.739 ac 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.73" Slope=0.0160 '/' Tc=45.9 min CN=56 Runoff=1.03 cfs 0.168 af
Subcatchment R1: R1	Runoff Area=0.067 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.52" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.51 cfs 0.042 af
Subcatchment R2: R2	Runoff Area=0.050 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.52" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.38 cfs 0.031 af
Subcatchment R3: R3	Runoff Area=0.091 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.52" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.69 cfs 0.057 af
Subcatchment R4: R4	Runoff Area=0.117 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.52" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.89 cfs 0.073 af
Subcatchment R5: R5	Runoff Area=0.164 ac 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.52" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.25 cfs 0.103 af
Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1 Discarded=0.70 cfs 0.418 af Primary=6.75 cfs	Peak Elev=36.67' Storage=1,267 cf Inflow=7.47 cfs 0.672 af 0.253 af Secondary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=7.45 cfs 0.672 af
Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2 Discarded=0.39	Peak Elev=36.32' Storage=15,063 cf Inflow=9.83 cfs 1.094 af cfs 0.702 af Primary=6.45 cfs 0.392 af Outflow=6.83 cfs 1.094 af
Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1 Discarded=2.71	Peak Elev=34.62' Storage=3,100 cf Inflow=5.05 cfs 0.403 af cfs 0.403 af Primary=0.00 cfs 0.000 af Outflow=2.71 cfs 0.403 af

Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)	Inflow=7.21 cfs 0.560 af Primary=7.21 cfs 0.560 af
Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)	Inflow=6.34 cfs 1.200 af Primary=6.34 cfs 1.200 af
Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)	Inflow=6.75 cfs 0.253 af Primary=6.75 cfs 0.253 af

Summary for Subcatchment P1: P1

Runoff = 1.68 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.155 af, Depth= 1.19"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
_	0.	475	61 >75°	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
0.277 74		74 >75°	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG C	
	0.	145	55 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
	0.	020	70 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG C	
*	0.	642	98 IMP	ERVIOUS		
_	1.	559	78 Wei	ahted Aver	ade	
	0.	917	58.8	2% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	642	41.1	8% Imperv	vious Area	
	Тс	Lenath	Slope	Velocitv	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	·
	11.0	100	0.0400	0.15		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
						Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.09"
	0.4	30	0.0400	1.40		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grass SCF
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.6	25	0.0200	0.71		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland SCF
						Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
	0.3	15	0.0200	0.99		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grass SCF
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.8	103	0.0160	2.04		Shallow Concentrated Flow, PVMT SCF
_						Unpaved Kv= 16.1 fps
	13.1	273	Total			

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"* Printed 5/11/2021 C Page 13

Subcatchment P1: P1



Summary for Subcatchment P2: P2

Runoff = 0.94 cfs @ 12.35 hrs, Volume= 0.112 af, Depth= 1.02"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

	Area	(ac) (CN	Desc	cription		
	0.	719	61	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.	147	74	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good	, HSG C
*	0.	448	98	IMPE	ERVIOUS		
	1.	314	75	Weig	phted Aver	age	
	0.	866		65.9 ⁻	1% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	448		34.09	9% Imperv	vious Area	
	Тс	Length	SI	ope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(f	ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	21.0	100	0.0	080	0.08		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.09"
	1.8	126	0.0	280	1.17		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Grass SCF
							Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.4	70	0.0	250	3.21		Shallow Concentrated Flow, PVMT SCF
							Paved Kv= 20.3 fps

23.2 296 Total

Subcatchment P2: P2





Summary for Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)

Runoff = 0.52 cfs @ 13.08 hrs, Volume= 0.147 af, Depth= 0.40"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

	Area ((ac) (CN	Desc	ription		
	0.4	407	61	>75%	Grass co	over, Good,	HSG B
	2.0	669	55	Wood	ds, Good,	HSG B	
*	1.:	336	74	Wood	ds, Good,	HSG C/D	
	4.4	412	61	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	4.4	412		100.0	0% Pervi	ous Area	
	_					_	
	Tc	Length	SI	ope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(1	ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	33.4	100	0.0	025	0.05		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
							Grass: Dense n= 0.240 P2= 3.09"
	28.9	433	0.0	100	0.25		Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland SCF
_							Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
	623	533	Tot	al			

Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)



Proposed Conditions Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09" 4512 - HydroCAD Printed 5/11/2021 Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 17 Summary for Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5) Runoff 0.68 cfs @ 12.14 hrs, Volume= 0.056 af, Depth= 1.82" Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09" Area (ac) CN Description 0.110 61 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B 0.258 98 **IMPERVIOUS** Weighted Average 0.368 87 0.110 29.89% Pervious Area 0.258 70.11% Impervious Area Capacity Tc Length Slope Velocity Description (feet) (min) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs) 10.0 **Direct Entry**, Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5) Hydrograph







 4512 - HydroCAD
 Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

 Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc.
 Printed 5/11/2021

 HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC
 Page 20

 Summary for Subcatchment P8: P8

Runoff = 0.05 cfs @ 12.92 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af, Depth= 0.25"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.09"

_	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	ription		
0.607 55 Woods, Good, HSG B							
	0.	028	61	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good,	HSG B
	0.	003	74	>75%	6 Grass co	over, Good,	HSG C
	0.	088	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
_	0.	013	70	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG C	
	0.	739	56	Weig	hted Aver	age	
	0.	739		100.0	00% Pervi	ous Area	
	Tc (min)	Length (feet	ר S)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
	41.6	100) ().	0160	0.04		Sheet Flow, Grass SF
	4.3	81	I 0.	0160	0.32		Woods: Dense underbrush n= 0.800 P2= 3.09" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Woodland SCF Forest w/Heavy Litter Kv= 2.5 fps
	45.9	181	I To	otal			

Subcatchment P8: P8












Summary for Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1

[87] Warning: Oscillations may require smaller dt or Finer Routing (severity=12)

Inflow Area =	1.559 ac, 41.18% Impervious, Inflow D	Depth = 1.19" for 2-yr event
Inflow =	1.68 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume=	0.155 af
Outflow =	0.92 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume=	0.155 af, Atten= 45%, Lag= 16.5 min
Discarded =	0.70 cfs @ 12.08 hrs, Volume=	0.153 af
Primary =	0.22 cfs @ 12.46 hrs, Volume=	0.002 af
Secondary =	0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 36.61' @ 12.46 hrs Surf.Area= 215 sf Storage= 988 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 7.4 min calculated for 0.155 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 7.4 min (865.1 - 857.7)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	ige Storaç	ge Description					
#1	33.00'	3	B cf 6.00'D	x 1.85'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder				
			52 cf (Overall - 32 cf Eml	bedded = 21 cf x 4	10.0% Voids			
#2	33.00'	23	B cf 4.00'D	x 1.85'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder In	side #1			
			32 ct (Overall - 4.0" Wall	Thickness = 23 cf				
#3	34.85'	3	B cf 2.00'D	x 1.00'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder -In	npervious			
#4	35.85	12,510	oct Custo	m Stage Data (Pr	ismatic) Listed bel	ow (Recalc) - Impervious			
#5	35.50	60	oct Custo	m Stage Data (Co	onic) Listed below	(Recalc)			
		12,604	cf Total	Available Storage					
Elevatio	on Su	rf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store					
(fee	et)	(sq-ft) (cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)					
35.8	35	12	0	0					
36.0	00	117	10	10					
36.5	50	2,079	549	559					
37.0	00	11,922	3,500	4,059					
37.5	50	21,881	8,451	12,510					
Elevatio	on Su	rf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area				
(fee	et)	(sq-ft) (cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)				
35.5	50	64	0	0	64				
36.0	00	187	60	60	188				
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devi	ces					
#1	Discarded	33.00'	120.000 in/	hr Exfiltration ove	er Wetted area				
#2	Primary	36.60'	150.0' long	x 1.0' breadth Br	oad-Crested Rect	angular Weir			
			Head (feet)	0.20 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1	.40 1.60 1.80 2.00			
			2.50 3.00						
			Coef. (Engl	ish) 2.69 2.72 2.	75 2.85 2.98 3.0	8 3.20 3.28 3.31			
			3.30 3.31	3.32					
#3	Secondary	37.22'	29.0' long	x 1.0' breadth Bro	ad-Crested Recta	ngular Weir			
			Head (feet) 2.50 3.00	ad (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 0 3.00					

Coef. (English) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31 3.32

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.70 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=36.08' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.70 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.22 cfs @ 12.46 hrs HW=36.61' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.22 cfs @ 0.22 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=33.00' TW=30.10' (Dynamic Tailwater) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1

Summary for Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

Inflow Area	l =	2.488 ac, 4	5.54% Impe	ervious, I	nflow Depth =	1.30"	for 2-yr	event
Inflow	=	2.42 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume=	0.269	af		
Outflow	=	0.39 cfs @	12.46 hrs,	Volume=	0.269	af, Atte	en= 84%,	Lag= 21.0 min
Discarded	=	0.39 cfs @	12.46 hrs,	Volume=	0.269	af		-
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume=	0.000	af		

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 34.95' @ 13.23 hrs Surf.Area= 57 sf Storage= 4,142 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 96.3 min calculated for 0.269 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 96.3 min (936.2 - 839.9)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stor	age	Storag	e Descriptio	n	
#1	30.10'	2	0 cf	6.00'D	x 4.49'H Ve	ertical	Cone/Cylinder
				127 cf	Overall - 77	′ cf Er	nbedded = 50 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	30.10'	5	6 cf	4.00'D	x 4.49'H Ve	ertical	Cone/Cylinder Inside #1
				77 cf C	Overall - 4.0	" Wall	Thickness = 56 cf
#3	33.00'		6 cf	6.00'D	x 1.40'H Ve	ertical	Cone/Cylinder
				40 cf C	Overall - 24	cf Em	bedded = $16 \text{ cf } \times 40.0\%$ Voids
#4	33.00	13	8 ct	4.00'D	x 1.40'H Ve	ertical	Cone/Cylinder Inside #3
.			0.1	24 cf C	verall - 4.0	" wall	Inickness = 18 cf
#5 #C	34.59			2.00 [°] D	X 1.00 H Ve		Cone/Cylinder Impervious
#6 #7	34.40	00.41	3 CT	2.00 [°] D			Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
<u> </u>	33.00	32,41	9 01		m Stage Da	ta (Pr	ismatic) Listed below (Recald) - Impervious
		32,52	5 CT	I otal A	vallable Sto	orage	
Flovatio	n Si	urf Aroa	Inc	Store	Cum	Store	
(fee	et)	(sa-ft)	(cubic	c-feet)	(cubic-	feet)	
33 (<u>)</u> 0	1 /03		0	(00010	0000	
34 (00	2 047		1 725	1	725	
35 (00	2,047		2 451	4	L 176	
36 (00	9 813		6.334	10	510	
37 (00	34 004	2	1 909	32	×419	
••••		0.,00.	_	,		-, •	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outle	et Devic	es		
#1	Discarded	30.10'	120.	000 in/h	r Exfiltratio	on ove	er Wetted area from 30.10' - 35.76'
			Excl	uded W	etted area =	= 28 s	f
#2	Primary	36.50'	3.0' I	long x	1.0' breadth	n Broa	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head	d (feet)	0.20 0.40	0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00			
			Coef	f. (Englis	sh) 2.69 2.	72 2.	75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30	3.31 3	3.32		
#3	Primary	36.20'	55.0	long x	(1.0' bread	th Bro	bad-Crested Rectangular Weir
			Head	d (feet)	0.20 0.40	0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00			75 0 05 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00
			Coet	. (Englis	sn) 2.69 2.	.72 2.	/5 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31
			3.30	3.31 3).J∠		

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.39 cfs @ 12.46 hrs HW=34.61' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.39 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=30.10' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) 2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs) 3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

Summary for Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1

Inflow Area	l =	0.889 ac, 5	3.88% Impe	ervious,	Inflow [Depth =	1.48	" for	2-yr e	event	
Inflow	=	1.32 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume	=	0.109	af				
Outflow	=	0.72 cfs @	12.26 hrs,	Volume	=	0.109	af, A	tten= 4	45%,	Lag= 9.8 r	min
Discarded	=	0.72 cfs @	12.26 hrs,	Volume	=	0.109	af				
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000	af				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 33.48' @ 12.26 hrs Surf.Area= 1,714 sf Storage= 764 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 17.2 min calculated for 0.109 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 17.2 min (823.7 - 806.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stor	rage Storage	Description				
#1	33.00'	15,24	1 cf Custom	Stage Data (Conic	c) Listed below (Red	alc)		
Elevatio (fee	on Su et)	urf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sq-ft)			
33.0 34.0 35.0 36.0 37.0)0 00 00 00 00 00	1,464 2,006 2,664 5,292 9,474	0 1,728 2,327 3,904 7,282	0 1,728 4,055 7,959 15,241	1,464 2,026 2,705 5,343 9,536			
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices	6				
#1	Discarded	33.00'	120.000 in/hr Exfiltration over Wetted area from 33.00' - 35.80' Excluded Wetted area = 1.464 sf					
#2	Primary	36.90'	35.0' long x 1.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 Coef. (English) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31 3.32					
Discord	ad OutFlow	Max 0.70 of	a @ 10.06 hra		Discharge)			

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.72 cfs @ 12.26 hrs HW=33.48' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.72 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=33.00' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)



Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1

Summary for Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 32

Inflow Area	a =	3.227 ac, 3	5.11% Impe	ervious, Infl	ow Depth =	0.06"	for 2-yr	event
Inflow	=	0.05 cfs @	12.92 hrs,	Volume=	0.015	af		
Primary	=	0.05 cfs @	12.92 hrs,	Volume=	0.015	af, Atte	en= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)

Summary for Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 33

Inflow Area	a =	5.301 ac,	9.04% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0	.33" for 2-yr event
Inflow	=	0.52 cfs @	13.08 hrs, Volume	= 0.147 af	
Primary	=	0.52 cfs @	13.08 hrs, Volume	= 0.147 af	, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed)

Summary for Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 34

Inflow Ar	ea =	1.559 ac, 4	1.18% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.0)2" for 2-yr event
Inflow	=	0.22 cfs @	12.46 hrs,	Volume	= 0.002	2 af	
Primary	=	0.22 cfs @	12.46 hrs,	Volume	= 0.002	2 af,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs



Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr* 10-yr Rainfall=4.89" Printed 5/11/2021 <u>C Page 35</u>

Subcatchment P1: P1



Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89" 4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Subcatchment P3: P3

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 36

Time (hours)



Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 37

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"* Printed 5/11/2021

Page 38

Subcatchment P7: P7



Subcatchment R1: R1



Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr* 10-yr Rainfall=4.89" Printed 5/11/2021 C Page 40

Subcatchment R3: R3



Time (hours)

0.85

0.8

0.75

0.7

0.6

0.55

0.45

0.4

0.3 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05

Flow (cfs)

Runoff Volume=0.064 af

Runoff Depth=4.65"

Tc=6.0 min

CN=98

Runoff

Hydrograph Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89'' Runoff Area=0.164 ac

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)





Subcatchment R5: R5

4512 - HydroCADTypePrepared by Design Professionals, Inc.HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Time (hours)

Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.89"* Printed 5/11/2021 <u>C Page 42</u>

Time (hours)

Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 43

4512 - HydroCADType IIIPrepared by Design Professionals, Inc.HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr* 10-yr Rainfall=4.89" Printed 5/11/2021 LC Page 44



Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02"* Printed 5/11/2021

Page 45

Subcatchment P1: P1



2-

Flow (cfs)

Subcatchment P3: P3 Hydrograph Runoff 2 58 cfs Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Runoff Area=0.676 ac Runoff Volume=0.197 af Runoff Depth=3.50" Tc=8.0 min **CN=77**

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)



Proposed Conditions Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Printed 5/11/2021 Page 46

Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" 4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph Runoff 1.66 cfs Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Runoff Area=0.368 ac Runoff Volume=0.139 af Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=4.54" Tc=10.0 min **CN=87** 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours) Subcatchment P6: P6 Hydrograph Runoff Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Runoff Area=0.267 ac 1 Runoff Volume=0.099 af Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=4.43" Tc=6.0 min **CN=86**

Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 47

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02*" Printed 5/11/2021

Page 48

Subcatchment P7: P7



Time (hours)

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02"* Printed 5/11/2021 S LLC Page 49

Subcatchment R1: R1



fessionals, Inc. Printed 5/11/2021 9320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 50 Subcatchment R3: R3 Hydrograph

Proposed Conditions



Subcatchment R4: R4



Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02"* Printed 5/11/2021 Solutions LLC Page 51

Subcatchment R5: R5







0.00

Hydrograph Inflow Outflow 6.95 cfs Inflow Area=2.488 ac Discarded Primary Peak Elev=36.25' 7 Storage=13,901 cf 6 5 Flow (cfs) 2.28 cfs 3 2 ٥ 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours) Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1 Hydrograph Inflow 3.59 cfs Outflow Inflow Area=0.889 ac Discarded Primary Peak Elev=34.21' Storage=2,171 cf 3 1.94 cfs Flow (cfs) 1.94 cfs

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

 Type III 24-hr
 25-yr
 Rainfall=6.02"

 Printed
 5/11/2021

 C
 Page 52

Proposed Conditions

4512 - HydroCAD Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.02" Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC



Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 53

Time (hours)



Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/11/2021

Page 55

Subcatchment P1: P1



ssionals, Inc. Printed 5/11/2021 20 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 56 Subcatchment P3: P3 Hydrograph

Proposed Conditions



Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4)





Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/11/2021 Iutions LLC Page 58

Subcatchment P7: P7



Subcatchment P8: P8


Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/11/2021 C Page 59

Subcatchment R1: R1



Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/11/2021 LLC _____ Page 60

Hydrograph Runoff 0.65 0.61 cfs Type III 24-hr 0.6 50-yr Rainfall=6.84" 0.55 0.5 Runoff Area=0.091 ac 0.45 Runoff Volume=0.050 af 0.4 Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=6.60" 0.35 Tc=6.0 min 0.3 **CN=98** 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.05 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 23 4 <u>5</u> 6 Ż 0 1 Time (hours)

Subcatchment R3: R3

Subcatchment R4: R4



4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph Runoff 1.10 cfs Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84" Runoff Area=0.164 ac Runoff Volume=0.090 af Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=6.60" Tc=6.0 min **CN=98**

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1



Subcatchment R5: R5

Proposed Conditions Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84" Printed 5/11/2021 Page 61



Hydrograph Inflow 8.30 cfs Outflow Discarded Inflow Area=2.488 ac Primary 9 Peak Elev=36.29' 8 Storage=14,518 cf 7 6 Flow (cfs) 5 4.15 cfs 4 3-2 1 n 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1



Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/11/2021 <u>C Page 62</u>



Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

0

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.84"* Printed 5/11/2021 <u>C Page 63</u>



Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)

Page 64

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76"* Printed 5/11/2021 LLC Page 65

Subcatchment P1: P1



Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76" 4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Hydrograph Runoff 3.71 cfs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76" Runoff Area=0.676 ac 3-Runoff Volume=0.285 af Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=5.05" 2 Tc=8.0 min **CN=77** 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours) Subcatchment P4: P4 (Proposed E4) Hydrograph Runoff 7 6.34 cfs Type III 24-hr 6-100-yr Rainfall=7.76" Runoff Area=4.412 ac 5-Runoff Volume=1.200 af 4 Flow (cfs) Runoff Depth=3.26" Flow Length=533' 3-Tc=62.3 min **CN=61** 2-

Subcatchment P3: P3

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 66

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

1

0



Subcatchment P5: P5 (Proposed E5)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 67

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

4512 - HydroCAD *Type* Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. <u>HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC</u>

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76"* Printed 5/11/2021 LLC Page 68

Subcatchment P7: P7



Time (hours)

Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76*" Printed 5/11/2021 LLC Page 69

Subcatchment R1: R1 Hydrograph



Subcatchment R2: R2



Proposed Conditions *Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76"* Printed 5/11/2021 s LLC Page 70



Subcatchment R3: R3

Subcatchment R4: R4



4512 - HydroCAD Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Subcatchment R5: R5 Hydrograph Runoff 1.25 cfs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76" Runoff Area=0.164 ac Runoff Volume=0.103 af



5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36





Time (hours)

0 1 2 3 4

Proposed Conditions Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76" Printed 5/11/2021 Page 71

Discarded



Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 72

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Hydrograph InflowPrimary 8 7.21 cfs Inflow Area=3.227 ac 7-6-5 Flow (cfs) 4 3-2 1 0-0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours) Link PDP2: DP2 (Proposed) Hydrograph Inflow
 Primary 7 6.34 cfs Inflow Area=5.301 ac 6.34 cfs



Link PDP1: DP1 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Hydrograph Inflow
 Primary 6.75 cfs Inflow Area=1.559 ac 7 6-5-Flow (cfs) 4 3-2-1 0-0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Time (hours)

Link PDP3: DP3 (Proposed)

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 74

4512 - HydroCAD Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.76" Prepared by Design Professionals, Inc. HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Pond EP1*: Proposed EP1

Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021

Page 75

Inflow Area =	=	1.559 ac, 4	1.18% Imp	ervious,	Inflow	Depth =	5.1	7" for	100	-yr event	Ī
Inflow =		7.47 cfs @	12.18 hrs,	Volume	=	0.672	af				
Outflow =		7.45 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume	=	0.672	af, /	Atten= 0	%,	Lag= 0.6	3 min
Discarded =		0.70 cfs @	11.56 hrs,	Volume	=	0.418	af				
Primary =		6.75 cfs @	12.19 hrs,	Volume	=	0.253	af				
Secondary =		0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume	=	0.000	af				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 36.67' @ 12.19 hrs Surf.Area= 215 sf Storage= 1,267 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 6.5 min (821.8 - 815.3)

Volume	Inver	t Avail.Sto	orage	Storage	e Description		
#1	33.00)'	8 cf	6.00'D	x 1.85'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder	
				52 cf O	verall - 32 cf Emb	bedded = 21 cf x	40.0% Voids
#2	33.00)'	23 cf	4.00'D	x 1.85'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder	nside #1
				32 cf O	verall - 4.0" Wall	Thickness = 23 c	f .
#3	34.85)' 	3 cf	2.00'D	x 1.00'H Vertical	Cone/Cylinder	mpervious
#4	35.85	o 12,5	10 Cf	Custor	n Stage Data (Pri	ismatic) Listed be	Now (Recalc) - Impervious
#5	35.50	1		Custon	n Stage Data (Co	nic) Listed below	(Recalc)
		12,6	04 Cf	I otal A	vailable Storage		
Elevatio	on S	Surf.Area	Inc	Store	Cum.Store		
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(cubio	c-feet)	(cubic-feet)		
35.8	5	12		0	0		
36.0	0	117		10	10		
36.5	50	2,079		549	559		
37.0	0	11,922		3,500	4,059		
37.5	60	21,881		8,451	12,510		
Elevatio	on S	Surf.Area	Inc	Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(cubic	c-feet)	(cubic-feet)	(sq-ft)	
35.5	60	64		0	0	64	
36.0	0	187		60	60	188	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outle	et Devic	es		
#1	Discarded	33.00'	120.0	000 in/h	r Exfiltration ove	r Wetted area	
#2	Primary	36.60'	150.0)' long	x 1.0' breadth Br	oad-Crested Rec	tangular Weir
			Head	d (feet)	0.20 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20 [·]	1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00			
			Coef	. (Englis	sh) 2.69 2.72 2.	75 2.85 2.98 3.0	08 3.20 3.28 3.31
	A		3.30	3.31 3	.32		
#3	Secondary	y 37.22'	29.0	long x	1.0' breadth Bro	ad-Crested Rect	angular Weir
			Head		0.20 0.40 0.60	0.80 1.00 1.20	1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			2.50	3.00 (Englic	b) 260 272 2	75 2 95 2 00 2 0	10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
			3.30	3.31 3	.32	15 2.05 2.98 3.0	0 3.20 3.20 3.31

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.70 cfs @ 11.56 hrs HW=36.02' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.70 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=6.73 cfs @ 12.19 hrs HW=36.67' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 6.73 cfs @ 0.69 fps)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=33.00' TW=30.10' (Dynamic Tailwater) —3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Summary for Pond EP2*: Proposed EP2

Inflow Area	ι =	2.488 ac, 4	5.54% Impe	ervious,	Inflow Depth =	5.27"	for 100-	yr event
Inflow	=	9.83 cfs @	12.11 hrs,	Volume	= 1.094	af		
Outflow	=	6.83 cfs @	12.41 hrs,	Volume	= 1.094	af, Atte	en= 30%,	Lag= 17.6 min
Discarded	=	0.39 cfs @	11.74 hrs,	Volume	= 0.702	af		
Primary	=	6.45 cfs @	12.41 hrs,	Volume	= 0.392	af		

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 36.32' @ 12.41 hrs Surf.Area= 57 sf Storage= 15,063 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 231.9 min calculated for 1.093 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 232.0 min (1,041.3 - 809.3)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Storage	Storage Description
#1	30.10'	20 cf	6.00'D x 4.49'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
			127 cf Overall - 77 cf Embedded = 50 cf x 40.0% Voids
#2	30.10'	56 cf	4.00'D x 4.49'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder Inside #1
			77 cf Overall - 4.0" Wall Thickness = 56 cf
#3	33.00'	6 cf	6.00'D x 1.40'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder
			40 cf Overall - 24 cf Embedded = 16 cf x 40.0% Voids
#4	33.00'	18 cf	4.00'D x 1.40'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder Inside #3
			24 cf Overall - 4.0" Wall Thickness = 18 cf
#5	34.59'	3 cf	2.00'D x 1.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
#6	34.40'	3 cf	2.00'D x 1.00'H Vertical Cone/Cylinder - Impervious
#7	33.00'	32,419 cf	Custom Stage Data (Prismatic) Listed below (Recalc) - Impervious

32,525 cf Total Available Storage

Elevatio (fee	on S et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
33.0 34.0 <mark>35.0</mark> 36.0 37.0	00 00 00 00 00	1,403 2,047 2,855 9,813 34,004	0 1,725 2,451 6,334 21,909	0 1,725 <mark>4,176</mark> 10,510 32,419	WATER QUALITY VOLUME
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Discarded Primary	30.10' 36.50'	120.000 in/hr Ex Excluded Wette 3.0' long x 1.0'	xfiltration over d area = 28 sf breadth Broad	Wetted area from 30.10' - 35.76' -Crested Rectangular Weir

4512 - HydroCADProposed ConditionsPrepared by Design Professionals, Inc.Type III 24-hr100-yr Rainfall=7.76"HydroCAD® 10.00-25 s/n 09320 © 2019 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 5/11/2021

			Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00
			Coef. (English) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31 3.32
#3	Primary	36.20'	55.0' long x 1.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 2.50 3.00 Coef. (English) 2.69 2.72 2.75 2.85 2.98 3.08 3.20 3.28 3.31 3.30 3.31 3.32

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.39 cfs @ 11.74 hrs HW=34.63' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.39 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=6.44 cfs @ 12.41 hrs HW=36.32' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) -2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs) -3=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 6.44 cfs @ 0.95 fps)

Summary for Pond PP1: Proposed Pond 1

Inflow Area	ι =	0.889 ac, 5	3.88% Imper	rvious, I	nflow Depth	= 5.4	45" for	100-	yr event	
Inflow	=	5.05 cfs @	12.10 hrs, \	/olume=	0.40)3 af				
Outflow	=	2.71 cfs @	12.26 hrs, \	/olume=	0.40)3 af,	Atten=	46%,	Lag= 9.5 r	nin
Discarded	=	2.71 cfs @	12.26 hrs, \	/olume=	0.40)3 af				
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs, \	/olume=	0.00)0 af				

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.02 hrs Peak Elev= 34.62' @ 12.26 hrs Surf.Area= 2,405 sf Storage= 3,100 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 17.9 min calculated for 0.403 af (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 17.9 min (807.4 - 789.5)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Sto	rage Storage	Description		
#1	33.00'	15,24	41 cf Custom	Stage Data (Conic	c) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio (fee	on Su et)	urf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	Wet.Area (sg-ft)	
33.0 34.0 <mark>35.0</mark> 36.0 37.0	00 00 00 00 00 00	1,464 2,006 2,664 5,292 9,474	0 1,728 2,327 3,904 7,282	0 1,728 <mark>4,055</mark> 7,959 15,241	1,464 2,026 2,705 5,343 9,536	WATER QUALITY VOLUME
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Device	S		
#1	Discarded	33.00'	120.000 in/hr Excluded We	Exfiltration over V tted area = 1,464 s	Vetted area fron	n 33.00' - 35.80'
#2	Primary	36.90'	35.0' long x Head (feet) 0 2.50 3.00 Coef. (English 3.30 3.31 3.3	1.0' breadth Broad 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.8 n) 2.69 2.72 2.75 32	-Crested Rectar 30 1.00 1.20 1. 2.85 2.98 3.08	ngular Weir 40 1.60 1.80 2.00 3 3.20 3.28 3.31

Discarded OutFlow Max=2.70 cfs @ 12.26 hrs HW=34.62' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 2.70 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=33.00' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) ←2=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs) APPENDIX C NRCS Soil Map & Data



USDA United States Department of Agriculture

> Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for State of Connecticut



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
State of Connecticut	14
12—Raypol silt loam	14
29A—Agawam fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	15
29B—Agawam fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	17
36B—Windsor loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	19
37E—Manchester gravelly sandy loam, 15 to 45 percent slopes	20
306—Udorthents-Urban land complex	22
307—Urban land	23
701A—Ninigret fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	24
702A—Tisbury silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	26
704A—Enfield silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	27
704B—Enfield silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	29
W—Water	31
Soil Information for All Uses	32
Soil Properties and Qualities	32
Soil Qualities and Features	32
Hydrologic Soil Group	32
References	37

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION				
Area of Int	a of Interest (AOI)		Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000.				
Soils	Sail Man Linit Dalveran	0 (0)	Stony Spot Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.				
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	Ŷ	Wet Spot	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause				
Special	Soil Map Unit Points Point Features		Special Line Features	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed				
0	Blowout Borrow Pit	Water Fea	atures Streams and Canals	scale.				
×	Clay Spot	Transport	tation Rails	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.				
∽	Closed Depression Gravel Pit	~	Interstate Highways US Routes	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:				
:. @	Gravelly Spot Landfill	~	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)				
Ň.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the				
ية ج	Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry	No.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.				
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.				
~	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: State of Connecticut				
+	Saline Spot Sandy Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 20, Jun 9, 2020				
=	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.				
⊳	Sinkhole Slide or Slip			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 15, 2019—Oct 24, 2019				
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.				

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
12	Raypol silt loam	18.1	21.0%
29A	Agawam fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	10.7	12.4%
29B	Agawam fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	8.3	9.7%
36B	Windsor loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	1.5	1.8%
37E	Manchester gravelly sandy loam, 15 to 45 percent slopes	3.8	4.4%
306	Udorthents-Urban land complex	7.8	9.1%
307	Urban land	4.5	5.2%
701A	Ninigret fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	0.0	0.0%
702A	Tisbury silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	7.4	8.5%
704A	Enfield silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	20.5	23.8%
704B	Enfield silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	2.7	3.1%
W	Water	0.8	1.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		86.1	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a

particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

State of Connecticut

12—Raypol silt loam

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9ljx Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Raypol and similar soils: 80 percent Minor components: 20 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Raypol

Setting

Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-loamy eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite and/or schist and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: silt loam

Bg1 - 8 to 12 inches: very fine sandy loam

Bg2 - 12 to 20 inches: silt loam

Bw1 - 20 to 26 inches: silt loam

Bw2 - 26 to 29 inches: very fine sandy loam

- 2C1 29 to 52 inches: stratified very gravelly coarse sand to loamy fine sand
- 2C2 52 to 65 inches: stratified very gravelly coarse sand to loamy fine sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 12 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Moderate (about 7.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D Ecological site: F144AY028MA - Wet Outwash Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Haven

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Enfield

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, outwash plains Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Ninigret

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Tisbury

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Scarboro

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways, terraces Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Walpole

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions on terraces, drainageways on terraces Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Unnamed, loamy substratum

Percent of map unit: 1 percent

29A—Agawam fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tyqw
Elevation: 0 to 1,040 feet *Mean annual precipitation:* 36 to 71 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 39 to 55 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 140 to 250 days *Farmland classification:* All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Agawam and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Agawam

Setting

Landform: Outwash plains, kame terraces, kames, moraines, outwash terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, footslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread, riser, rise, dip Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Parent material: Coarse-loamy eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss, granite, schist, and/or phyllite

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 11 inches: fine sandy loam Bw1 - 11 to 16 inches: fine sandy loam Bw2 - 16 to 26 inches: fine sandy loam 2C1 - 26 to 39 inches: loamy fine sand 2C2 - 39 to 55 inches: loamy fine sand 2C3 - 55 to 65 inches: loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 15 to 35 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F145XY008MA - Dry Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Ninigret

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Windsor

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Landform: Outwash plains, outwash terraces, deltas, dunes Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, riser Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

Walpole

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash plains, depressions, outwash terraces, depressions, deltas Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, dip, talf Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Hinckley

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash plains, eskers, kames, deltas Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, rise Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

29B—Agawam fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tyqx Elevation: 0 to 820 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 250 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Agawam and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Agawam

Setting

Landform: Moraines, outwash terraces, kame terraces, kames, outwash plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, footslope, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread, riser, rise, dip

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Parent material: Coarse-loamy eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss, granite, schist, and/or phyllite

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 11 inches: fine sandy loam Bw1 - 11 to 16 inches: fine sandy loam Bw2 - 16 to 26 inches: fine sandy loam 2C1 - 26 to 45 inches: loamy fine sand 2C2 - 45 to 55 inches: loamy fine sand 2C3 - 55 to 65 inches: loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 15 to 35 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F145XY008MA - Dry Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Terraces, deltas, outwash plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Hinckley

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Deltas, outwash plains, eskers, kames Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Head slope, nose slope, side slope, crest, rise Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Hydric soil rating: No

Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 3 percent

Landform: Kames, eskers, moraines, outwash terraces, outwash plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, riser, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Windsor

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Deltas, outwash plains, dunes, outwash terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Riser, tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

36B—Windsor loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2svkf Elevation: 0 to 1,210 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Windsor, loamy sand, and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Windsor, Loamy Sand

Setting

Landform: Outwash terraces, deltas, outwash plains, dunes Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, riser Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Parent material: Loose sandy glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite and/or loose sandy glaciofluvial deposits derived from schist and/or loose sandy glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss

Typical profile

O - 0 to 1 inches: moderately decomposed plant material

A - 1 to 3 inches: loamy sand

Bw - 3 to 25 inches: loamy sand

C - 25 to 65 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* More than 80 inches *Drainage class:* Excessively drained Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm) Available water capacity: Low (about 4.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2s Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: F144AY022MA - Dry Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Hinckley, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Eskers, kames, deltas, outwash plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, rise Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Hydric soil rating: No

Deerfield, loamy sand

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces, deltas Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

37E—Manchester gravelly sandy loam, 15 to 45 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9In7 Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Manchester and similar soils: 80 percent Minor components: 20 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Manchester

Setting

Landform: Eskers, kames, outwash plains, terraces

Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

Parent material: Sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from sandstone and shale and/or basalt

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 9 inches: gravelly sandy loam

Bw - 9 to 18 inches: gravelly loamy sand

C - 18 to 65 inches: stratified extremely gravelly coarse sand to very gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 45 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Excessively drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Very low (about 2.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: A Ecological site: F145XY008MA - Dry Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Penwood

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Branford

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Hartford

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Walpole

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Depressions on terraces, drainageways on terraces Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Scitico

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Terraces, depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

306—Udorthents-Urban land complex

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lmg Elevation: 0 to 2,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Udorthents and similar soils: 50 percent Urban land: 35 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Udorthents

Setting

Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Drift

Typical profile

A - 0 to 5 inches: loam C1 - 5 to 21 inches: gravelly loam C2 - 21 to 80 inches: very gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 25 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to high (0.00 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 54 to 72 inches

Frequency of flooding: None *Frequency of ponding:* None *Available water capacity:* Moderate (about 6.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Urban Land

Typical profile

H - 0 to 6 inches: material

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

Minor Components

Unnamed, undisturbed soils

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Udorthents, wet substratum

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Rock outcrop

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: No

307—Urban land

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lmh Elevation: 0 to 2,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 56 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 185 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Urban land: 80 percent *Minor components:* 20 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Urban Land

Typical profile

H - 0 to 6 inches: material

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: Unranked

Minor Components

Unnamed, undisturbed soils

Percent of map unit: 10 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Udorthents, wet substratum

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

701A—Ninigret fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2y07d Elevation: 0 to 1,260 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Ninigret and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Ninigret

Setting

Landform: Outwash terraces, kames, moraines, outwash plains, kame terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Concave, convex

Parent material: Coarse-loamy eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from gneiss, granite, schist, and/or phyllite

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: fine sandy loam

Bw1 - 8 to 16 inches: fine sandy loam

Bw2 - 16 to 26 inches: fine sandy loam

2C - 26 to 65 inches: stratified loamy sand to loamy fine sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 18 to 38 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 17 to 39 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2w Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: F144AY026CT - Moist Silty Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Moraines, kames, eskers, outwash terraces, outwash plains Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Agawam

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Moraines, outwash terraces, outwash plains, kame terraces, kames Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Tisbury

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains, deltas, valley trains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Raypol

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

702A—Tisbury silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2y07g Elevation: 0 to 1,260 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Tisbury and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Tisbury

Setting

Landform: Valley trains, outwash plains, deltas, outwash terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-silty eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite, schist, and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 8 inches: silt loam Bw1 - 8 to 18 inches: silt loam Bw2 - 18 to 26 inches: silt loam 2C - 26 to 65 inches: extremely gravelly sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 24 to 36 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 14.17 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 30 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.9 mmhos/cm)
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e

Hydrologic Soil Group: C *Ecological site:* F144AY026CT - Moist Silty Outwash *Hydric soil rating:* No

Minor Components

Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Moraines, outwash terraces, outwash plains, kames, eskers Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Agawam

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains, kame terraces, kames, moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Ninigret

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, kames, moraines, outwash plains, kame terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope, toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Concave, convex Hydric soil rating: No

Raypol

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

704A—Enfield silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2y07p Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Enfield and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Enfield

Setting

Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-silty eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite, schist, and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: silt loam Bw1 - 7 to 15 inches: silt loam Bw2 - 15 to 25 inches: silt loam 2C - 25 to 60 inches: stratified very gravelly coarse sand to loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to restrictive feature: 16 to 39 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification

Drainage class: Well drained

Runoff class: Low

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Available water capacity: Low (about 4.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 1 Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F145XY009CT - Well Drained Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Haven

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Tisbury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Valley trains, outwash terraces, outwash plains, deltas Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Agawam

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Kames, moraines, outwash terraces, outwash plains, kame terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Raypol

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

704B—Enfield silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2y07q Elevation: 0 to 1,200 feet Mean annual precipitation: 43 to 54 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 185 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Enfield and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Enfield

Setting

Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Coarse-silty eolian deposits over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite, schist, and/or gneiss

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: silt loam Bw1 - 7 to 15 inches: silt loam Bw2 - 15 to 25 inches: silt loam 2C - 25 to 60 inches: stratified very gravelly coarse sand to loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 16 to 39 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: F145XY009CT - Well Drained Outwash Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Haven

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, outwash terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Tisbury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, deltas, valley trains, outwash terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

Agawam

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Kames, moraines, outwash terraces, outwash plains, kame terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, shoulder, footslope, summit, toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, head slope, nose slope, tread Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Raypol

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drainageways, depressions Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

W-Water

Map Unit Composition Water: 100 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Soil Information for All Uses

Soil Properties and Qualities

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

Soil Qualities and Features

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.





Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
12	Raypol silt loam	C/D	18.1	21.0%
29A	Agawam fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	В	10.7	12.4%
29B	Agawam fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	8.3	9.7%
36B	Windsor loamy sand, 3 to 8 percent slopes	А	1.5	1.8%
37E	Manchester gravelly sandy loam, 15 to 45 percent slopes	A	3.8	4.4%
306	Udorthents-Urban land complex	В	7.8	9.1%
307	Urban land	D	4.5	5.2%
701A	Ninigret fine sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	С	0.0	0.0%
702A	Tisbury silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	С	7.4	8.5%
704A	Enfield silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	В	20.5	23.8%
704B	Enfield silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	В	2.7	3.1%
W	Water		0.8	1.0%
Totals for Area of Interest			86.1	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

APPENDIX D Soil Testing Result



P.O. BOX 1167 21 JEFFREY DRIVE SOUTH WINDSOR, CT 06074 PHONE: 860.291.8755 FAX: 860.291.8757 www.designprofessionalsinc.com LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

CIVIL & TRAFFIC ENGINEERS / LAND SURVEYORS / PLANNERS /

Serving Connecticut, Massachusetts, & Rhode Island

DPI Project #4512 Site Plan Modification 1060 Main Street South Windsor CT 06074

DEEP TEST PIT RESULTS

The following deep test pits were witnessed by Daniel Jameson, P.E., of Design Professionals, Inc. on 2/25/21.

TEST PIT #1

0" – 12"	TOPSOIL
12" – 96"	TAN MED-FINE SANDY LOAM

GROUND WATER SEEPAGE @ 90", NO MOTTLING, STABLE TRENCH

TEST PIT #2

0" – 12"	TOPSOIL
12" – 60"	TAN MED-FINE SANDY LOAM
60" – 90"	TAN MED-FINE SANDY LOAM (COMPACT & DAMP)

GROUND WATER SEEPAGE @ 90", NO MOTTLING, STABLE TRENCH

TEST PIT #3

0" – 11"	TOPSOIL
11" – 96"	TAN MED-FINE SANDY LOAM

NO MOTTLING, STABLE TRENCH



1060 Main Street Percolation Test Results May 18, 2021

Test Performed By Daniel Jameson, P.E. 2/25/2021

Bottom Of Hole	48"
Pre-Soak Depth	14.4"

Pre Soak 12:04:00 PM (Dry Before 1:58 PM)

	TIME	DAYS	MINS	DEPTH (ft)	DEPTH (in)
Test Start	11:13 AM		0.00	0.00	0.00
(Dry)	11:14 AM	0.0007	1.00	0.27	3.24
	11:15 AM	0.0014	2.00	0.70	8.40
	11:16 AM	0.0021	3.00	1.00	12.00





APPENDIX E Water Quality Calculations



Proposed Conditions

Printed 5/11/2021 Page 2

Area Listing (selected nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
1.961	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (P1, P2, P3, P5, P6, P7)
0.475	74	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG C (P1, P2, P6)
2.023	98	IMPERVIOUS (P1, P2, P3, P5, P6, P7)
0.336	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (P1, P3, P7)
0.020	70	Woods, Good, HSG C (P1)
4.815	77	TOTAL AREA

1060 Main Street - DPI Project No.:4512

May 18, 2021

Water Quality Volume Calculations

Per 2004 Connecticut Stormwater Quality Manual, Section 7.4.1:

Areas for Calculation: Development Areas (Excluding Roofs) P1 - P7 (Excluding P4)

	Development
	Areas
Impervious	2.023
Pervious	2.792
Total Area	4.815
% Impervious	42.01%

Water Quality Volume (WQV) = (1'')(R)(A)/12, where:

$$\begin{split} R &= \text{unitless volumetric runoff coefficient} = 0.05 + 0.009(I), \text{ where:} \\ I &= \text{percent impervious cover of drainage area} = 42.01\% \\ R &= 0.05 + 0.009(I) \\ R &= 0.05 + 0.009(42.01) \\ R &= \underline{0.428} \end{split}$$

A = drainage area in acres = 4.815 acres

WQV = (1")(R)(A acres)/12 inches per foot WQV = $(1")(\underline{0.428})(\underline{4.815} \text{ acres})/12$ inches per foot WQV = $\underline{0.172}$ acre-feet required = 7492.32 cft

Proposed BMP

Proposed stilling basins 1 and 2 will provide **4,055 cft** and **4,176 cft** below Elev. 35 respectively. The total combined basin area will provide more over **100%** of the determined water quality volume for the site as recommended by the 2004 Connecticut Stormwater Quality Manual. Stage storage report for both basins is included on pages 76 and 77 of the proposed condition HydroCAD report, included in Appendix B of this report.

APPENDIX F Drainage Area Maps



