

Soil & Wetland Studies
 Ecology 

 Application Reviews
 Listed Species Surveys 
 GPS
 Environmental Planning & Management

 Ecological Restoration & Habitat Mitigation

 Expert Testimony 

 Permitting

February 5, 2024

VIA E-MAIL

Inland Wetlands Agency/Conservation Commission Town of South Windsor Town Hall 1540 Sullivan Avenue South Windsor, CT 06074

# **Re:** WETLANDS ASSESSMENT

Proposed Industrial Development 396 Burnham Street, South Windsor, CT

*REMA Job No.: 18-2076-SWN102* 

Dear Chair Kelly & Agency members:

At the request of the applicant, Burnham Realty, LLC, REMA ECOLOGICAL SERVICES, LLC (REMA), provides herein a brief assessment of potential short-term and long-term impacts upon regulated resources (i.e., wetlands/watercourses) at the above-referenced property. REMA visited the subject site on May 11<sup>th</sup> and September 19<sup>th</sup>, 2018, January 28<sup>th</sup>, 2023, and most recently on January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2024, for the purpose of delineating the wetland boundaries and obtaining baseline data. An *On-Site Soil Investigation & Wetland Delineation Report* dated September 19<sup>th</sup>, 2018, and revised through January 28<sup>th</sup>, 2023, has been submitted into the record for this application.

We have reviewed plans submitted by Bushnell Associates, LLC, dated October 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2023, and (2 sheets), entitled "Plan Prepared for Burnham Realty, LLC, 396 Burnham Street, South Windsor, CT."

#### **1.0** Existing Conditions

As has been documented in the aforementioned report, the overall site encompasses roughly 6.69 acres, most of which is encumbered by forested wetlands, which also extend off-site to the north,



east, and west. The overall wetland system is in excess of 15 acres (i.e., on-site and off-site). According to the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping, as well as the CT Soil Survey, this swath of forested wetlands has two primary hydrologic regimes: *seasonally flooded*, and *seasonally saturated*. The wetland cover type is dominated by red maple in the overstory, sweet pepperbush and highbush blueberry in the woody understory, and skunk cabbage, ferns (i.e., cinnamon, royal, sensitive), sedges, and stout wood reedgrass in the herbaceous stratum.

It should be noted that the wetlands in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development include several invasive plant species or aggressive colonizers such as Japanese knotweed, multiflora rose, Japanese barberry, pachysandra, and garlic mustard. Moreover, much of the wetland boundary northerly of the proposed activities were delineated at the toe of an old fill slope.

Wetland/watercourse functions and values<sup>1</sup> were assessed informally, using the rationales of a standardized evaluation methods [e.g., US Army Corps of Engineers' *Descriptive Approach* (1995)], and best professional judgment. Wetland and upland baseline data provide the basis for the assessment, as well as the landscape setting of the site, as noted above. Table A (below) shows the results of the assessment.

Function/Value	Wetland A
Groundwater Recharge/discharge	Р
Floodflow alteration	Р
Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	Ν
Sediment/toxicant/pathogen retention	Р
Nutrient Removal/Transformation	Р
Production Export	Y
Aquatic Habitat	Y
Wildlife Habitat	Р
Endangered Species Habitat	Ν
Visual Quality/aesthetics	Y
Educational/Scientific Value	Y
Recreation (passive/active)	Y
Uniqueness/heritage	Ν

 Table A: Summary of Wetland/Watercourse Functions-Values Assessment

*Notes:* P = Primary/principal function; Y = secondary/function present; N = function is not appreciably present or is absent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Functions are those provided by a given wetland/watercourse that are intrinsic to the resource. That is, they would present regardless of society (e.g wildlife habitat, nutrient removal/transformation). Values are those services that society benefits from (e.g., floodflow alteration, recreation, educational/scientific value. Some "functions" also benefit society, such as sediment/toxicant/pathogen retention.



Due to the extent and moderate wetland cover type diversity of the overall wetland ecological unit, five (5) functions/values are attributed as <u>principal</u>, and five (5) functions/values are attributed as <u>secondary</u>. However, the site itself including the wetland fringe is highly disturbed, including by filling to the edge of the delineated wetland boundary, and a high proportion of invasive plant species. These disturbances date back several decades.

## 2.0 Proposed Conditions

According to the reviewed plans, *direct* wetland disturbances (i.e., filling) are **not** being proposed at the subject site.

*Indirect* or *secondary* physical impacts to a wetland or watercourse can occur as a result of activities *outside* of wetlands or watercourses. Such impacts can be *short-term* or *long-term*, the former typically associated with the potential for erosion and sedimentation, mostly during the construction period, the latter including the removal or disturbance of vegetation in upland areas but adjacent to wetlands or watercourses, the alteration of wetland hydrology or the flow regime of a watercourse, and the discharge of degraded surface water or groundwater, which may adversely impact the water quality of the regulated resources both on-site, but also, potentially off-site and downgradient.

The potential for any of these *indirect* impacts to occur at the site as a result of the proposal depends on the regulated resources themselves, the magnitude and quality of the functions and values that they provide, their environmental sensitivity, and their ecological and physical characteristics.

The potential for erosion and subsequent deposition in wetlands and watercourses at the subject site is *low*. Per the plans robust controls are proposed in accordance with CT DEEP's 2002 *Guidelines for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control*. The proposed water quality/detention basin will be utilized as a sedimentation basin during the construction phase.

While the water quality basin will be constructed within a few feet of the wetland boundary, and additional vegetation will be removed, the more significant trees that occur just inside of the edge of the wetland will be left in place. Most of the vegetation to be removed consists of low quality ruderal forest with invasive species (e.g., Japanese knotweed). Tables 1 through 4 (attached) are recommended plantings for the water quality basin. This will not only ensure that the basin will function at a higher capacity to renovate stormwater, but also provide a defense against invasive proliferation, and some complementary habitat to the adjacent wetland habitat.



Since this is an in-fill development, with grading or clearing as close to the wetland boundary to that which is proposed, both to the east and to the west of the subject site, the wetland setback is appropriate and protective of the regulated resource. Moreover, while the overall wetland system provides many principal functions and values, it is resilient and not sensitive to this kind of development at this particular location, given not only its size and landscape setting, but also its type, that is, *not* an oligotrophic (i.e., low-nutrient) system that would be sensitive to stormwater discharges, but a mostly mesotrophic system (i.e., moderate nutrient availability/fertility).

Alteration of wetland hydrology is not an issue at this site, primarily due to the fact that the hydrology of this resource is dependent on inputs from its large watershed. Also, due to past filling, there is minimal recharge to the wetland fringe from this site.

Potential water quality impacts are effectively dealt with by the proposed water quality basin, which treats the water quality volume (WQV) from the impervious areas. The basin is also being planted with native emergents creating a saturated wetland habitat (i.e., wet meadow/marsh) that will enhance the effectiveness of this best management practice to treat stormwater and protect the water quality of the associated wetlands.

## 3.0 Conclusion

It is our professional opinion that there will not be a significant or adverse impact to the site's regulated wetlands and watercourses, or to off-site wetland and watercourse resources. The regulated resources will continue to provide substantial functions and values, post-construction.

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

REMA ECOLOGICAL SERVICES, LLC

2D

George T. Logan, MS, PWS, CSE Certified Professional Wetland Scientist, Registered Soil Scientist, Certified Senior Ecologist

Attachments: Photos 1 to 4; Tables 1 to 4



DATE:	May 11, 2018	FACING:	EASTERLY	PHOTO NO.:	2
				<b>Comments:</b> Seasona area (not a vernal po northerly of wetland	ally flooded ool), boundary



DATE:	January 31, 2024	FACING:	NORTHWESTERLY	PHOTO NO.:	4
	- Martin Contraction			<b>Comments:</b> View of from edge of woods; Japanese knotweed s taken over by water basin	wetland area with shall be quality

#### TABLES OF PLANTING MATERIALS FOR WATER QUALITY BASIN

396 Burnham Street, South Windsor, CT

Table 1. Trees								
Hydrologic Zones: Zone A: S	Saturated/Sh	allow inundation; Zone B:	seasonally s	aturated, moi	st			
Zone C: moderately well drain	ed, usually n	noist; Zone D: well-draine	d				lity	
Scientific Name	<u>Zone</u>	<u>Common Name</u>	<u>Size</u>	Shade tolerant?	<u>NWI*</u>	<u>Form</u>	'ater Qua asin	ota/S
FULL SIZE TREES							2 ũ	<u>1</u>
Quercus palustris	B,C	Pin Oak	4'-6'	Y	FACW	nursery pot	1	1
Acer rubrum	D	Red maple	4'-6'	Y	FACU-	nursery pot	1	1
Total:							2	2
SMALL TREES/LARGE	SHRUBS							
Salix discolor	B,C	Pussy willow	3'-4'	Ν	FACW	nursery pot	2	2
Total:							2	2
Table 2. Shrubs								
<u>Scientific Name</u>	<u>Zone</u>	Common Name	<u>Size</u>	Shade tolerant?	<u>NWI*</u>	<u>Form</u>		tals
MEDIUM TO LOW SHRU	UBS							<u>T</u> c
Clethra alnifolia	B,C	Sweet pepperbush	3'-4'	Y	FAC+	pot	2	2
Lyonia ligustrina	B,C	Maleberry	3'-4'	Y/N	FACW	pot	2	2
Viburnum lentago	B,C	Nannyberry	3'-4'	Y	FAC	pot	2	2
Swida racemosa	B,C	Gray dogwood	3'-4'	Y	FAC	pot	6	6
Total:							12	12

Table 3. Herbs							
Hydrologic Zones: Zone A: S	aturated/Sh	allow inundation;				1	
Zone B: seasonally saturated, moist					ity		
Zone C: moderately well drained, usually moist; Zone D: well-drained					tter Qual sin	<u>talS</u>	
Scientific Name	<u>Zone</u>	Common Name	Form	<u>NWI*</u>	<b>Spacing</b>	Wa Ba	70
Carex lupulina	В	Hop sedge	2" plug	FACW	2'OC	50	50
Eutrochium purpureum	В	Purple Joe Pye weed	2" plug	FAC	3'OC	50	50
Vernonia noveborecensis	В	New York Ironweed	2" plug	FACW	3'OC	50	50
Total:						150	150
* NWI Status (National Wetland	l Inventory;	National Wetland Plant List:	Northcentral	& Northea	st)		
NOTES:							

1. Plant between May 15 and June 30 for herbaceous species. July planting will need watering through end of August.

2. Purchased woody material may be installed either in the spring (April 15th to June 15th), or in the fall (August 15th to Oct.15th)

3. Plant in same species groupings of two to three shrubs, ten to fifteen for herbs

4. Use seed mixes from New England Wetland Plants, Inc., South Hadley, MA (see Table 4), at specified seeding rate.

5. No seeding or plants in 3' diameter circle around each shrub and tree,1' around plugs; mulch with shred. bark or nat. wood mulch

6. Water and weed as needed during first growing season.

Table 4: Seed Mixes for Water Quality Basin				
<u>COMMENTS:</u> See notes accompanying each seed mix for additional guidance pertaining to the season that the seed mix is applied. Implementation notes also include a section on seeding.				
NEWP Seed Mix #1	Water Quality Basin Bottom (elev. <62')			
New England Erosion Control/Restoration Mix for Detention Basins	(in seasonally saturated, temporaily floooded	2		
1 lb/2,500 sf	and moist areas)			
NEWP Seed Mix #2	Water Quality Basin Slopes (elev. >62')			
New England Conservation/Wildlife Mix	(in moist to dry areas)	2		
1 lb/1,750 sf				
		4		
<ol> <li>Notes:         <ol> <li>Mix 1:1 with filler (coarse sand, kitty litter) to help correctly divide s</li> <li>Mixes contain seeds with a range of hydrologic tolerances, so diffe</li> <li>Plants will set seed and spread further, increasing in density, beco</li> <li>Mulch (do not seed) areas under and around plug &amp; shrub clusters (Coverage specified assumes area occupied by mulched woody pl</li> <li>A late fall seeding will require 20% more seed, because some see germination rates will actually be higher the following spring, due to Source:</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	eed packages and for even spreading. erent species will thrive in different areas. ming concnetrated in most suitable areas. s, to exclude weeds and hold moisture. lantings has been subtracted.) d wil be lost to wash off and herbivory, but o the cold winter stratification of the seed.			