CAPITAL PROJECTS COMMITTEE

(SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE SOUTH WINDSOR TOWN COUNCIL)

MINUTES
SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 1 TOWN HALL REGULAR MEETING February 17, 2016

1. Call Meeting to Order

Chairperson Janice Snyder called the meeting to order at 4:40 p.m.

2. Roll Call

Members Present

Chris Chemerka, Director of Finance and Operations, Board of Education Michael Gantick, Director of Public Works Michael Gonzalez, Board of Education Patrick Hankard, Director of Facility Services, Board of Education Scott Roberts, Director of Information Technology (Town Manager's Designee) Janice Snyder, Town Council (Chairperson)

Members Absent

Dr. Kate Carter, Superintendent of Schools, Board of Education Philip Koboski, Board of Education Carolyn Mirek, Town Council Elizabeth Pendleton, Town Council (Vice-chairperson) Craig Zimmerman, Board of Education

Guests Present

Robert Dickinson, Resident Raymond Favreau, Director of Parks & Recreation Clay Major, Facilities Manager Walter Summers, Fire Marshal

3. Approval of Minutes Previous Meetings

Mr. Michael Gantick made a motion to approve the January 20, 2016 Minutes; Mr. Patrick Hankard seconded that motion; and it was approved unanimously with one abstention from Chairperson Janice Snyder.

4. Discussion Items

Discussion of Top Projects

Mr. Scott Roberts briefed Chairperson Janice Snyder about the last Capital Projects Committee meeting in January 2016. He explained that the Draft Capital Projects Master List (Exhibit A) is what the Committee created. Staff from the Town and Board of Education created the Staff Capital Inventory/Request 2016/17-2020/21 (Exhibit B) in order to satisfy requirements from ratings agencies.

Chairperson Snyder asked to review the Staff Capital Inventory/Request. The first items on the list are the same items that are highlighted on the Draft Capital Projects Master List.

CAPITAL PROJECTS COMMITTEE

(SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE SOUTH WINDSOR TOWN COUNCIL)

MINUTES
SPRENKEL ROOM

PAGE 2 TOWN HALL REGULAR MEETING February 17, 2016

Mr. Patrick Hankard made a motion for the Capital Projects Committee to accept and send to the Town Council for approval the Staff Capital Inventory/Request 2016/17-2020/21; Mr. Michael Gantick seconded the motion; and it was approved unanimously.

The Committee decided in January 2016 on an amount of \$2,043,363 to propose to the Town Council. Mr. Scott Roberts said that in a Staff Meeting earlier in February 2016, Town Manager Matthew Galligan said he was going to propose a budget of \$1.5 million to the Town Council instead of the \$1.1 million as required by ordinance. Chairperson Snyder said even the proposed amount is too small. The Committee generally agreed that the amount was too small but acknowledged the challenge in getting a larger proposal passed in the budget.

Chairperson Snyder asked the guests about their opinions for the projects. Mr. Raymond Favreau and Mr. Walter Summers both agreed they were comfortable with submitting the \$2 million proposal. Chairperson Snyder said she is hoping to get to \$1.8 million for funding, but she would rather get \$2 million in funding.

Chairperson Snyder asked if Mr. Roberts could coordinate with Ms. Ashley Summers and create a packet with the thirteen projects. Mr. Roberts said the dates should be updated before the packets are created. Chairperson Snyder said she would like to have the packet sent to the Committee within the next week or two for review.

Mr. Patrick Hankard made a motion for the Capital Projects Committee to approve and submit to the Town Council the document titled Draft Capital Projects Master List FY 16/17 with a recommendation that Items 1 through 13 totaling \$2,043,363 be approved for funding in Fiscal Year 2016/2017 and included in that recommendation is a request that the Town Council consider the priority numbers listed in Column 2 as the order in which the Committee feels they should be funded; Mr. Scott Roberts seconded the motion; and it was approved unanimously.

Funding Requests

Chairperson Snyder said this discussion item is to remind the Committee to discuss the dollar amounts which have already been addressed earlier in the meeting. She would like to see funding get to a point that will help take care of current and future projects without constantly pushing items back. Mr. Roberts pointed out that the funding request for 2017/2018 is currently at \$8 million.

Timing of CIP Presentation to Town Council

Chairperson Snyder asked that the owners of projects proposed to the Town Council help make the presentation with her. The Committee discussed when Town Manager Galligan would be presenting both the Town and Board of Education's budget. Chairperson Snyder said she would like to present Capital Projects before Town Manager Galligan's presentation in March. Chairperson Snyder will ask the Town Manager to provide the tax increase with the Capital Projects budget set at \$2 million instead of \$1.5 million. The Committee agreed to try to get a presentation ready for the Town Council meeting on Monday, March 7, 2016. Chairperson Snyder will work up a draft PowerPoint presentation.

CAPITAL PROJECTS COMMITTEE (SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE SOUTH WINDSOR TOWN COUNCIL)

MINUTES PAGE 3 REGULAR MEETING SPRENKEL ROOM TOWN HALL February 17, 2016

Ms. Chris Chemerka asked if pictures should be added. Chairperson Snyder said if any pictures could be used, that would be helpful. Some projects might not have pictures available.

Chairperson Snyder said a printout of the presentation should be included in the Town Council's packet along with the project worksheets.

5. New Business

Chairperson Snyder asked Mr. Gantick about sidewalk grinding companies. Mr. Gantick said they have used some companies in the Abbe Road area. It is a feasible option to use on some sidewalk issues. According to a projection done four years ago, there is about \$4 million in sidewalk repairs in the Town. The \$200,000 request is a very small request. Chairperson Snyder asked if the requested sidewalk areas could be listed somehow in the presentation.

Mr. Robert Dickinson asked about enforcing repairs on sidewalks. Mr. Gantick said that in the past, the Town has given letters to residents asking for a plan to repair the sidewalk in 25 days. He feels it is not fair to the residents, but there has to be funding to repair sidewalks.

Mr. Hankard asked if there were any claims from damaged sidewalks. Mr. Gantick said there have been a few claims.

6. Old Business

None

7. Adjournment

Mr. Michael Gantick made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 5:10 p.m.; Ms. Chris Chemerka seconded that motion; and it was approved unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,								
Ashley Summers Recording Secretary								

Exhibit A Draft Capital Projects Master List 16/17
Exhibit B Staff Capital Inventory/Request 2016-2020

- Unfunded Projects for FY 14/15

DRAFT Capital Projects Master List FY 16/17

ude second y
\$240,000 \$180,000 Incerased 90K to include second year
\$180,000 Incerased
-/
lks
Fiber Communications Network - PD to FD Replace 1/2 of TEMS Front Sidewalks
unications Network - PD to FD of TEMS Front Sidewalks
Communications 1/2 of TEM
Fiber Comm Replace 1/2
3 %

•	(FY <u>2016</u> - <u>2017</u>)
Departme	ent/Division: Assessor/Finance
A. G	ENERAL INFORMATION
1.	Project Title: Revaluation (Inspection Services)
process is status, fea Statute 12 year perio requireme	on of Project: The Town of South Windsor is due for a Town Wide Revaluation during 2017. This dictated by Connecticut State Statute 12-62 and is performed to return assessments to an equitable aturing fair and reasonable valuations have been applied in a uniform manner. Also, according to 2-62, the town shall have the additional requirement to physically inspection each property within a text town last met this guide line during the 2007 town wide revaluation, according to statute ents the town will be required to meet the inspection process for the scheduled 2017 revaluation.
certified r	approximately 11,100 parcels in the Town of South Windsor and due to staff and time constraints a evaluation company will be hired to perform such inspections. The total estimated cost of the Project 00 spread out over a three-year period based on timeline of work completed.
1.	Estimated Cost: \$150,000
2.	Other offset funding available (Grants/Reimbursements): 0
	Explanation:
3.	Useful life of project: 5 years
4.	Project Timeline: Planning/Modeling/Sales Value Collection July/August 2017 Data Collection Field Review August/October 2017 Assessment Notices October 2017 Assessment Hearings October/November 2017 Board of Assessment Hearings March through April 2018 Finalize Values/Assessments/Appeals April 2018
5.	Estimated additional annual operating cost \$:0
	Explanation:
6.	Estimated annual savings \$ 0
	Explanation:
Departme	ent Priority: Capital Projects Committee Priority:

	(FY <u>2017 - 2018</u>)
Departm	ent/Division: Assessor/Finance
A. G	ENERAL INFORMATION
1.	Project Title: Revaluation (Inspection Services)
process is status, fea Statute 12 year perio	on of Project: The Town of South Windsor is due for a Town Wide Revaluation during 2017. This is dictated by Connecticut State Statute 12-62 and is performed to return assessments to an equitable atturing fair and reasonable valuations have been applied in a uniform manner. Also, according to 2-62, the town shall have the additional requirement to physically inspection each property within a tended. The town last met this guide line during the 2007 town wide revaluation, according to statute ents the town will be required to meet the inspection process for the scheduled 2017 revaluation.
certified 1	approximately 11,100 parcels in the Town of South Windsor and due to staff and time constraints a revaluation company will be hired to perform such inspections. The total estimated cost of the Project 00 spread out over a three-year period based on timeline of work completed.
1.	Estimated Cost: \$95,000
2.	Other offset funding available (Grants/Reimbursements): 0
	Explanation:
3.	Useful life of project: 5 years
4.	Project Timeline: Planning/Modeling/Sales Value Collection July/August 2017 Data Collection Field Review August/October 2017 Assessment Notices October 2017 Assessment Hearings October/November 2017 Board of Assessment Hearings March through April 2018 Finalize Values/Assessments/Appeals April 2018
5.	Estimated additional annual operating cost \$:0
·.	Explanation:
6.	Estimated annual savings \$ 0
	Explanation:
Departme	ent Priority: Capital Projects Committee Priority:

(FY 2018

Department/Division: Assessor/Finance

A.	GENERAL INFORMATION
1.	Project Title: <u>Revaluation (Inspection Services)</u>
proces status, Statute year pe	ption of Project: The Town of South Windsor is due for a Town Wide Revaluation during 2017. This is is dictated by Connecticut State Statute 12-62 and is performed to return assessments to an equitable featuring fair and reasonable valuations have been applied in a uniform manner. Also, according to 212-62, the town shall have the additional requirement to physically inspection each property within a terriod. The town last met this guide line during the 2007 town wide revaluation, according to statute ements the town will be required to meet the inspection process for the scheduled 2017 revaluation.
certifie	are approximately 11,100 parcels in the Town of South Windsor and due to staff and time constraints a ed revaluation company will be hired to perform such inspections. The total estimated cost of the Project 7,000 spread out over a three-year period based on timeline of work completed.
1.	Estimated Cost: \$62,000
2.	Other offset funding available (Grants/Reimbursements): 0
	Explanation:
3.	Useful life of project: 5 years
. 4.	Project Timeline: Planning/Modeling/Sales Value Collection July/August 2017 Data Collection Field Review August/October 2017 Assessment Notices October 2017 Assessment Hearings October/November 2017 Board of Assessment Hearings March through April 2018 Finalize Values/Assessments/Appeals April 2018
5.	Estimated additional annual operating cost \$:0
	Explanation:
6.	Estimated annual savings \$ 0
	Explanation:
Depar	tment Priority: Capital Projects Committee Priority:

(FY <u>2016</u>

1.	Project Title:	Assessment & T	ax Collection S	oftware		
Desc	ription of Project:	Purchase & install	new Assessme	nt and Tax Coll	ection Software.	
The	ently a committee of committee of Town epartments.	of Town staff compl a staff consisted of	leted a review of personnel from	f assessment an the Assessor, C	d tax collection : Collector of Reve	software sys nue, Finance
Over appro	nine years have pa opriate and necessa	assed since the Tow ary to take the time	vn last explored to investigate tl	these systems a ne Town's softv	and it was recently ware options.	ly deemed
was in Reversion	noted that this systemes's and Assesso m's shortcomings possibilities existe	s commitment with em no longer meets r's offices. It was a was difficult to me ed for Town purpos interviews with con	s many of the balso recognized asure. The commites. The commites.	sic functions re that the costs of nittee next exar tee scheduled o	quired by the Co operation and co nined the industransite demonstra	ollector of ost to cure th ry to determi
capal repor	bility to produce re rting abilities that e	nese software system equired reporting in employ accurate and s that this replacem	form and formal acceptable acc	at acceptable to counting practic	the State, a wide es, and competiti	variety of
capal repor	bility to produce re rting abilities that e	equired reporting in employ accurate and s that this replacem	form and formal acceptable acc	at acceptable to counting practic	the State, a wide es, and competiti	variety of
report The	bility to produce re rting abilities that e committee suggests Estimated Cost:	equired reporting in employ accurate and s that this replacem	form and formad acceptable acceptable acceptable as	at acceptable to counting practic soon as is reaso	the State, a wide es, and competiti	variety of
The c	bility to produce re rting abilities that e committee suggests Estimated Cost: Other offset fun	equired reporting in employ accurate and sthat this replacem \$125,000 ding available (Gra	form and formad acceptable acceptable acceptable as	at acceptable to counting practic soon as is reaso	the State, a wide es, and competiti	variety of
The contract of the contract o	bility to produce re rting abilities that e committee suggests Estimated Cost: Other offset fun Explanation:	equired reporting in employ accurate and sthat this replacem \$125,000 ding available (Granoject: 10 years	form and formad acceptable acceptable acceptable as	at acceptable to counting practic soon as is reaso	the State, a wide es, and competiti	variety of
The contract of the contract o	bility to produce retring abilities that extended committee suggests. Estimated Cost: Other offset fun Explanation: Useful life of project Timeling	equired reporting in employ accurate and sthat this replacem \$125,000 ding available (Granoject: 10 years	form and formad acceptable accept	at acceptable to counting practic soon as is reaso	the State, a wide es, and competiti	variety of

Temporary Financing

When general obligation bonds have been authorized, bond anticipation notes may be issued maturing in not more than two years (CGS Sec. 7-378). Temporary notes may be renewed up to ten years from their original date of issue as long as all project grant payments are applied toward payment of project costs or temporary notes when they become due and payable, and the legislative body schedules principal reductions by the end of the third year and for each subsequent year during which such temporary notes remain outstanding, in an amount equal to a minimum of 1/20th (1/30th for sewer projects and certain school projects) of the estimated net project cost (CGS Sec. 7-378a). The term of the bond issue is reduced by the amount of time temporary financing exceeds two years.

Temporary notes must be permanently funded no later than ten years from their initial borrowing date, except sewer notes issued in anticipation of State and/or Federal grants. If written commitment exists, the municipality may renew the sewer notes from time to time in terms not to exceed six months until such time that the final grant payments are received (CGS Sec. 7-378b).

Temporary notes may also be issued for up to 15 years for certain capital projects associated with the operation of a waterworks system (CGS Sec. 7-244a) or a sewage system (CGS Sec. 7-264a). In the first year following the completion of the project(s), or in the sixth year following the original date of issue (whichever is sooner), and in each year thereafter, the notes must be reduced by 1/15th of the total amount of the notes issued by funds derived from sources of payment specified by statute. Temporary notes may be issued in one-year maturities for up to 15 years in anticipation of sewer assessments received during the preceding year (CGS Sec. 7-269a).

School Projects

Pursuant to Section 10-287i of the Connecticut General Statutes, the State of Connecticut will provide proportional progress payments for eligible school construction expenses on projects approved after July 1, 1996. State grants will be paid directly to the municipality after it submits its request for progress payments, and accordingly, the municipality will issue its bonds only for the net share of project costs. Under the current program, the State of Connecticut will make proportional progress payments for eligible construction costs during certain phases of construction.

•		Amount	Estimated	
4		of Total	Reimbursement	Estimated
Project	Ai	thorization	Rate (%)	Grant
Orchard Hill Elementary School Project (1)	\$	33,520,000	34.64%	\$ 11,611,328

Authorized but Unissued Debt

Project	Amount Authorized	Previously Bonded		Grants and Paydowns		The Bonds (This Issue)		The Notes (This Issue)			Authorized But Unissued		
Open Space Acquisitions	\$ 2,000,000	\$		\$	*	S	ā <u>s</u>	38		<u>.</u>	8	2,000,000	
2009 Authorization Water Pollution Control Facility Project	47,000,000	****	,516,583	¥	(7,760,005)	1997	£ = }	- 		:=	ga v	11,723,412	
Storm Alfred Debris Management		ara meroren meder an Sa	erana andreas adaptival	Canada de la Canada		dujar sensesia	الدو ر أنان و رانان المالية و المالية المالية ا		Sampling Print	سعادي والمراجع	saasaan ka	3,082	
and Removal Services	8,000,000		,000,000		(5,996,918)		(F)		2. 4	.e. Generalisa eta		J.VOA	
2012 - Various School Projects	5,790,000		,370,000		7#/	*	3,260,000		Ĵ	160,000		¥r.	
2012 - Road / Drainage Improvements	4,000,000	· ·	,100,000.		491		1,900,000					•	
Orchard Hill Elementary School Project	33,520,000				æ		· ·	•		500,000		33,020,000	
2014 - Road / Drainage Improvements	12,000,000					ال رددان		·		500,000	<u> </u>	6,500,000	
Total	\$112,310,000	\$ 32	,986,583	\$	(13,756,923)	\$	5,160,000	_\$_	7	160,000	\$	53,246,494	

Capital Improvement Program,

Under the Town Charter, the Town Manager annually submits an updated capital improvement program. The plan is a systematic program to add or replace capital items for each department within the General Government and Board of Education. The 2012-2022 Plan projects a total of \$42,000,000. Over the ten years, the projects are to be funded from the general fund, Town road aid, I.OCIP funds, bonds and/or notes, and grants and user fees.